arXiv:1106.4255v1 [math.NT] 21 Jun 2011

Weil–Châtelet divisible elements in Tate–Shafarevich groups

MIRELA ÇIPERIANI AND JAKOB STIX

Abstract — For an abelian variety A over a number field k we discuss divisibility questions in $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)$ of elements of the subgroup $\text{Ш}(A/k)$. The results are most complete for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. The connection to the section conjecture of anabelian geometry is discussed.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries and reminder on global Galois cohomology 4
3. Translation between Galois cohomology and étale cohomology 6
4. Divisibility by a fixed power of p 8
5. Review of Bashmakov's results 9
6. By induction to p-divisibility 11
7. Finite subgroups of GL_2 20
8. Intersection with the maximal divisible subgroup 24
9. Connection to the section conjecture of anabelian geometry 29

References 31

1. Introduction

1.1. **The Cassels–Bashmakov problem.** Let A/k be an abelian variety over an algebraic number field k with algebraic closure k^{alg}. Bashmakov [Ba64, Ba72], studied the question of whether elements of the Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{Ш}(A/k)$ can become divisible in the Weil–Châtelet group $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A) = \mathrm{H}^1(k, A(k^{\mathrm{alg}}))$, i.e., lie in the subgroup $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A))$ of divisible elements. Bashmakov's results are recalled in Section §5. This question was initially asked by Cassels in the case of elliptic curves (see [Ca62] Problem 1.3) because an affirmative answer would prove that the kernel of the Cassels' pairing equals the maximal divisible subgroup of the Tate-Shafarevich group. This question appears again in [Cas62] Problem (b) where Cassels completes his analysis of the kernel of Cassels' pairing but states that the question of the divisibility of the Tate-Shafarevich group in the Weil-Châtelet group remains open.

Extending Cassels question, Bashmakov [Ba64] also investigates whether $\text{Ш}(A/k)$ can meet the maximal divisible subgroup $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A))$ of $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)$ in a nontrivial way, see also [HS09] §4. In other words, the Cassels–Bashmakov's problem considers the filtration

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k,A) \supseteq \mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)) \supseteq \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)) \supseteq 0 \qquad (1.1)$$

and intersects it with $\text{Ш}(A/k)$. Observe that $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A))$ is generally strictly larger than the maximal divisible subgroup $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A))$, see Section §1.3.2.

Our motivation for studying the Cassels–Bashmakov problem is twofold. The group $\text{Ш}(A/k)$ conjecturally has no divisible elements, and this is known for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ of analytic rank ≤ 1. The study of $\text{Ш}(A/k)$ in the bigger group $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)$ may shed some light on the structure of $\text{Ш}(A/k)$ itself in the general case.

Secondly, this problem arises naturally in anabelian geometry. Let W be a principal homogeneous space of A over k, with a geometric point $\bar{w}$ of the base change $\overline{W} = W \times_k k^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Let $\mathrm{Gal}_k = \mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{alg}}/k)$ be the absolute Galois group k. A section of the fundamental exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(\overline{W}, \bar{w}) \to \pi_1(W, \bar{w}) \to \mathrm{Gal}_k \to 1,$$

Date: June 22, 2011.

The authors acknowledge the hospitality and support provided by MATCH and the Newton Institute.

The first author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-07-58362.

yields that the corresponding class $[W] \in \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$ lies in the maximal divisible subgroup, see [HS09] and Section §9. If, in addition, local points exist on W, then $[W]$ belongs to $Ш(A/k)$ and the existence of a global k-rational point follows from a negative answer to Bashmakov's problem for A/k, see again [HS09] §4 and also [Sx11a] §19.3.5. The connection[1] of Bashmakov's problem to the section conjecture of anabelian geometry will be discussed in Section §9.

1.2. **Summary of results.** Our main concern is divisibility properties of $Ш(A/k)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$ for an abelian variety A over a number field k. In view of the conjectured finiteness of $Ш(A/k)$ the p-divisibility should be guaranteed for large p depending on A/k. Our aim therefore is to identify conditions that a prime number p must satisfy for the p-divisibility conclusion to hold, which are sufficient and as close as possible to being necessary.

For elliptic curves over the rationals Theorem 29 and Corollary 30 yield the following.

Theorem A. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve defined over the rationals. Then the following holds.*

1. *$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ for all primes $p > 7$.*
2. *There is at most one odd prime number $p = 3, 5$ or 7, and then at most two quadratic twists $E^\tau/\mathbb{Q}$ of $E/\mathbb{Q}$, such that $Ш(E^\tau/\mathbb{Q})$ is not p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E^\tau)$.*
3. *If $p = 5$ or 7 and $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is not p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ then E has semistable reduction outside p.*

The essential content of Theorem 22 provides a uniform criterion for elliptic curves over an algebraic number field k that depends only on the degree of $k/\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem B. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve defined over an algebraic number field k of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $Ш(E/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$ for every prime number $p > (2^d + 2^{d/2})^2$.*

Our method actually shows more. We define the **locally divisible** H^1 as the kernel

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A) \to \bigoplus_v \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A)/\operatorname{div}\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A)\big)\Big).$$

Since $\operatorname{div}(-)$ is a functor, we find $\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)$. Focusing on the p-part and using local Tate duality $\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^t(k_v), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ we find for $v \nmid p$ that $\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A)_{p^\infty}) = 0$ and therefore an exact sequence

$$0 \to Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \to \bigoplus_{v|p} \operatorname{div}\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A)_{p^\infty}\big) \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\dim(A)\cdot[k:\mathbb{Q}]}. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, as recalled in (2.2), the group $Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty}$ has the shape $(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{d_p} \oplus T(p)$ for some $d_p \geq 0$ and $T(p)$ a finite p-group. Obviously, the question of whether $Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty}$ lies in $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))$ or $\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))$ is only to be asked about $T(p)$.

The most general divisibility property that we prove now reads as follows, see Proposition 19 and the arguments thereafter together with Lemma 37 as well as the proof of Theorem 15. It can be viewed as a local to global principle for p-divisibility of elements in the Weil–Châtelet group with respect to certain p.

Theorem C. *Let A/k be an abelian variety over an algebraic number field, let A^t be its dual abelian variety, and let p be a prime number. If we assume that*

- (i) *$\mathrm{H}^1(k(A^t_p)/k, A^t_p) = 0$, with the splitting field $k(A^t_p)$ of the p-torsion A^t_p of A^t, and*
- (ii) *the Gal_k-modules A^t_p and $\mathrm{End}(A^t_p)$ have no common irreducible subquotient,*

in particular if $p \gg 0$, then $\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))_{p^\infty} = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty}$ holds and $Ш(A/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$.

Our results show in particular, that the filtration quotients of (1.1) can be nontrivial in general: if $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $A = E$ is an elliptic curve, then Theorem 29 shows that for $p > 7$ the group $\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$ contains the p-primary part of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$, see [Ro00] for examples (depending on the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture) with $|Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})| = p^2$ in the range $p \leq 100$ among elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of the form $Y^2 = X^3 - q^3X$ for primes $q \equiv 1 \mod 8$, and [Ma07] Theorem 5.1 for families of examples with $p = 13$ and unbounded $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{13}}(Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{13})$. At least some of the examples have analytic rank ≤ 1 and so those examples are unconditional.

---

[1] The authors acknowledge the influence of work of Harari and Szamuely for bringing the results of Bashmakov to their attention and for informing the first author about their value from the point of view of anabelian geometry.

Concerning the relative position of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$ our main result is under the assumption of trivial analytic rank (see Section §8.3). The following two theorems are a complete description of the results in this direction (part (4) follows essentially from [HS09] Cor 4.2.).

Theorem D. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve.*

(1) *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has trivial algebraic rank, then $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.*
(2) *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is a semistable elliptic curve of trivial analytic rank, then $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and for $p > 7$ we find*

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)\right)_{p^\infty} = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)_{p^\infty}.$$

(3) *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has positive algebraic rank, then $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)) = \mathrm{Div}(Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}))$.*
(4) *If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has analytic rank 1, then $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)) = 0$.*

With respect to the filtration (1.1) we deduce that even if $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$ is non-trivial, it can be strictly smaller than $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$. Moreover, it turns out that an old example of Selmer provides an example where the Tate–Shafarevich group intersects the maximal divisible group nontrivially, see Section §8.4 for the following.

Theorem E. *The Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ can intersect $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$ non-trivially. In particular, the Selmer curve $3X^3 + 4Y^3 + 5Z^3 = 0$ represents a nontrivial class in*

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$$

with E the Jacobian of the Selmer curve.

Our approach works also in the case when A/k is an abelian variety over the function field of a geometrically connected, smooth projective curve X over a finite field, at least with respect to divisibility questions for p distinct from the residue characteristic. However, since our current interest lies in the arithmetic case when k is an algebraic number field, we have not developed the geometric case in parallel.

Concerning the section conjecture the most complete, albeit negative result is Theorem 59.

Theorem F. *There is no abelian variety A/k over an algebraic number field k such that every section of*

$$1 \to \pi_1(\overline{A}) \to \pi_1(A) \to \mathrm{Gal}_k \to 1$$

comes from a rational point of A.

However, a result that goes in the opposite direction follows from Theorem D (see §9.1 for definitions and §9.3 for further results).

Theorem G. *The class of principal homogeneous spaces with local points everywhere over elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ forms a weekly anabelian class if any of the following conditions hold:*

(1) *the elliptic curve is semistable, its analytic rank is trivial, and the order of the principal homogeneous spaces is divisible by a prime $p > 7$,*
(2) *the analytic rank of the elliptic curve equals 1,*
(3) *the algebraic rank of the elliptic curve is positive and the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite.*

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Brian Conrad, David Harari, Joseph Oesterlé, Ken Ribet and Tamás Szamuely for several useful discussions. The first author is also grateful to her advisor, Andrew Wiles, for introducing her to this method of thinking about the p-divisibility of the Tate-Shafarevich group.

1.3. **Notation.** We fix some notation which will be in use throughout the text.

1.3.1. *On curves.* In the sequel, we let k be an algebraic number field, i.e., a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. We set $X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$ with the ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}_k$ in k. The completion of k at a place v is k_v. The finite places of k will be identified with the closed points of X. An abelian variety A/k with good reduction over a nonempty open $U \subset X$ extends to an abelian scheme over U that we denote by A/U by abuse of notation.

1.3.2. *On abelian groups.* Let M be an abelian group. The n-torsion subgroup is denoted by by M_n, and M_{p^∞} denotes the p-primary torsion $\bigcup_n M_{p^n}$. The subgroup $\operatorname{div}(M) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} nM$ of divisible elements of M contains the maximal divisible subgroup $\operatorname{Div}(M)$ of M, which equals $\bigcup \operatorname{im}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi : \mathbb{Q} \to M$. For matters of clarity we will distinguish between **"a is divisible by p"**, meaning there is a' with $a = pa'$, and **"a is p-divisible"**, which means that for every $n \geq 1$ there is a' with $a = p^n a'$. For a discussion of $\operatorname{div}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Div}(M)$ see Jannsen [Ja88] §4. In particular note that in general $\operatorname{div}(M)$ is strictly larger than $\operatorname{Div}(M)$, as in the following example:

$$M = \Big(\bigoplus_n \frac{1}{2n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\Big) / \ker\Big(\text{sum} : \bigoplus_n \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \to \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\Big)$$

where $\operatorname{div}(M) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{Div}(M) = 0$.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND REMINDER ON GLOBAL GALOIS COHOMOLOGY

2.1. Tate–Shafarevich and Selmer groups.

Let k be an algebraic number field. For a discrete Gal_k-module M we set

$$Ш^i(k, M) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^i(k, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_v} \prod_v \mathrm{H}^i(k_v, M)\Big)$$

with the restriction maps res_v induced by the embedding $k \hookrightarrow k_v$, and the product ranges over all places v of k. The Tate–Shafarevich group $Ш(A/k)$ for an abelian variety A over k is defined[2] as $Ш^1(k, A) = Ш^1(k, A(k^{\mathrm{alg}}))$, and in particular is a torsion group. It follows that

$$Ш(A/k) \cap \operatorname{div}\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)\big) = \bigoplus_p \Big(Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \cap \operatorname{div}\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\big)\Big)$$

$$Ш(A/k) \cap \operatorname{Div}\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)\big) = \bigoplus_p \Big(Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \cap \operatorname{Div}\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\big)\Big),$$

where p ranges over all prime numbers and the Cassels–Bashmakov problem decomposes into p-primary parts. We will now concentrate on the p-primary part of the Cassels–Bashmakov problem.

Let p be a prime number. The p^n-torsion Selmer group of A is defined as

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n}) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A_{p^n}) \to \prod_v \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A)\Big)$$

with v ranging over all places of k. A quick diagram chase with the cohomology sequence of the Kummer sequence $0 \to A_{p^n} \to A \xrightarrow{p^n \cdot} A \to 0$ over k and all the localisations k_v yields the fundamental short exact sequence

$$0 \to A(k)/p^n A(k) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n}) \to Ш(A/k)_{p^n} \to 0. \tag{2.1}$$

It is known that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n})$ is a finite group, see Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 below. Therefore $Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty}$ is of finite corank and

$$Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{d_p} \oplus T(p) \tag{2.2}$$

with a finite p-primary torsion group $T(p)$.

---

[2] The traditional definition of $Ш(A/k)$ is indeed equivalent due to the subtle equality

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A) = \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A(k_v^{\mathrm{alg}})) = \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A(k^{\mathrm{alg}})).$$

2.2. **Generalized Selmer groups.** The Selmer group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n})$ is a global H^1 with local Selmer-conditions at every place. A **generalized Selmer group** $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, M)$ for a discrete finitely generated Gal_k-module M occurs by imposing **local conditions** $\mathbb{L}_v \subset \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, M)$ as follows

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, M) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, M) \to \prod_v \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, M)/\mathbb{L}_v\Big),$$

such that the local conditions $\mathbb{L}_v$ agree for almost all v with the **unramified local cohomology** $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v, M) = \inf\big(\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(v), M^{\mathrm{I}_v})\big)$. Here $\kappa(v)$ is the residue field at v and $\mathrm{I}_v \subset \mathrm{Gal}_{k_v}$ is the inertia subgroup. We do not bother to define $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}$ for infinite places v since there are only finitely many of them. For a textbook reference we refer to [NSW08] (8.7.8).

Observe that in the case when $M = A_{p^n}$, it is known that the image of the boundary map δ_{kum} of the Kummer sequence

$$\mathrm{Sel}_v = \delta_{\mathrm{kum}}\big(A(k_v)/p^n A(k_v)\big) \subset \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A_{p^n})$$

coincides with $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v, A_{p^n})$ at places of good reduction with residue characteristic $\neq p$. Hence for $M = A_{p^n}$ with $\mathbb{L}_v = \mathrm{Sel}_v$ we find back our original definition of the Selmer group.

We shall mainly be working with the following generalized Selmer groups. Let Q be a finite set of finite places. The **Selmer group free at** Q is defined as

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_Q}(k, A_{p^n}) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A_{p^n}) \to \prod_{v \notin Q} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A_{p^n})/\mathrm{Sel}_v\Big)$$

and the **Selmer group trivial at** Q is defined as

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^Q}(k, A_{p^n}) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A_{p^n}) \to \prod_{v \notin Q} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A_{p^n})/\mathrm{Sel}_v \times \prod_{v \in Q} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A_{p^n})\Big).$$

Obviously we have inclusions $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^Q}(k, A_{p^n}) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n}) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_Q}(k, A_{p^n})$.

2.3. **Dual conditions and Euler characteristic formula.** Let $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}_v)$ be a collection of local conditions for a discrete finitely generated Gal_k-module M. The dual local condition $\mathbb{L}^* = (\mathbb{L}^*_v)$ is defined as the orthogonal complement $\mathbb{L}^*_v = \mathbb{L}^\perp_v$ with respect to the local Tate-duality pairing. We know that

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v, M) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v, M^D)^\perp$$

for almost all v, see [Mi86] Theorem 2.6, and consequently, $\mathbb{L}^*$ is a collection of local conditions for the dual Gal_k-module $M^D = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))$. If $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, M)$ is a generalized Selmer group then so is $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}^*}(k, M^D)$.

We now specialize to $M = A_{p^n}$, so that $M^D = A^t_{p^n}$, where A^t is the dual abelian variety. The Selmer condition is self-dual: $\mathrm{Sel}^* = \mathrm{Sel}$, and the dual of Sel_p is $\mathrm{Sel}_p^* = \mathrm{Sel}^p$. In order to relate sizes of these generalized Selmer groups, we adapt the Tate–Poitou exact sequence as in the proof of [NSW08] Theorem (8.7.9). We denote by $(-)^\vee$ the Pontrjagin dual $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{\mathrm{H}^2(k_S/k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee}{\prod_{v \in S} \mathrm{H}^0(k_v, A_{p^n})} \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, A_{p^n}) \to \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{L}_v \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_S/k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}^*}(k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee \to 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where S is a finite set of primes of k which includes all the archimedean primes, all primes of bad reduction, all the primes for which $\mathbb{L}_v \neq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v, M)$, as well as all the primes above p. The field k_S is the maximal extension of k unramified outside S. We use the natural map of (2.3) for $\mathbb{L} = \mathrm{Sel}$ to the sequence for $\mathbb{L} = \mathrm{Sel}_p$ with the same set S.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{\mathrm{H}^2(k_S/k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee}{\prod_{v \in S} \mathrm{H}^0(k_v, A_{p^n})} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \prod_{v \in S} \mathrm{Sel}_v \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathrm{H}^1(k_S/k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{\mathrm{H}^2(k_S/k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee}{\prod_{v \in S} \mathrm{H}^0(k_v, A_{p^n})} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^n}) \arrow[r] & \prod_{v \in S} \mathrm{Sel}_{p,v} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(k_S/k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(k, A^t_{p^n})^\vee \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

A diagram chase leads to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k,A_{p^n})}{\mathrm{H}^2(k_S/k,A^t_{p^n})^\vee} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \prod_{v\in S}\mathrm{Sel}_v \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k_S/k,A^t_{p^n})^\vee \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k,A^t_{p^n})^\vee\Big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k,A_{p^n})}{\mathrm{H}^2(k_S/k,A^t_{p^n})^\vee} \arrow[r] & \prod_{v\in S}\mathrm{Sel}_{p,v} \arrow[r] & \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k_S/k,A^t_{p^n})^\vee \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(k,A^t_{p^n})^\vee\Big) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $\mathrm{Sel}_{p,v}/\mathrm{Sel}_v = 0$ except for places $v \mid p$ when $\mathrm{Sel}_{p,v}/\mathrm{Sel}_v = \mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A)_{p^n}$. Applying the snake lemma twice we find thus a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k,A_{p^n})}{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k,A_{p^n})} \to \prod_{v|p}\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A)_{p^n} \to \left(\frac{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k,A^t_{p^n})}{\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(k,A^t_{p^n})}\right)^\vee \to 0 \tag{2.4}$$

which will help estimating sizes of generalized Selmer groups later.

3. TRANSLATION BETWEEN GALOIS COHOMOLOGY AND ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY

3.1. **The comparison map.** Let S be a connected noetherian scheme and $\bar{s} \in S$ a geometric point. The stalk functor $\mathscr{M} \mapsto \mathscr{M}_{\bar{s}}$ yields an equivalence of categories between locally constant constructible sheaves on the small étale site $S_{\text{ét}}$ and finite continuous discrete $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$-modules. The finite étale site $S_{\text{fét}}$ is equivalent to the canonical site of $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$ and receives a continuous map $\gamma : S_{\text{ét}} \to S_{\text{fét}}$. The inverse of the stalk functor agrees with γ^* after suitable identifications. We deduce a natural comparison map

$$\gamma^i : \mathrm{H}^i(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S,\bar{s}),\mathscr{M}_{\bar{s}}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(S_{\text{ét}},\mathscr{M})$$

for locally constant constructible sheaves $\mathscr{M}$ on $S_{\text{ét}}$. In the sequel, all cohomology of schemes will be étale cohomology and we will simplify notation to $\mathrm{H}^i(S,\mathscr{M}) = \mathrm{H}^i(S_{\text{ét}},\mathscr{M})$.

The map γ^i is always an isomorphism for $i = 0,1$ and still injective for $i = 2$, see for example [Sx02] Appendix A. More is true in special cases.

Theorem 1. *Let k be an algebraic number field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}_k$. Let $U \subset \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$ be a nonempty Zariski open endowed with a geometric point $\bar{u} \in U$. Let p be a prime number which is invertible on U.*

For a locally constant constructible sheaf $\mathscr{M}$ on $U_{\text{ét}}$ with stalk $\mathscr{M}_{\bar{u}}$ of order a power of p the comparison map

$$\gamma^i : \mathrm{H}^i(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(U,\bar{u}),\mathscr{M}_{\bar{u}}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(U,\mathscr{M}).$$

is an isomorphism of finite groups for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof: This is [Zi78] Proposition 3.3.1, and finiteness follows from [Zi78] Theorem 2.6. □

3.2. **Inner étale cohomology.** In this section we shall not be concerned with the effect of real places by assuming there are none, or that we deal with $p \neq 2$. Otherwise, there are expositions of the necessary modifications after Artin–Verdier by introducing the Woods-Hole site that one should think of as a compactification of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)_{\text{ét}}$, see for example [Zi78].

Set $X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$ for the ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}_k$ of an algebraic number field k. Let $j : U \subset X$ be a dense Zariski open. Then compactly supported cohomology of a torsion sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $U_{\text{ét}}$ is defined as $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U,\mathscr{F}) = \mathrm{H}^i(X, j_!\mathscr{F})$. Restriction induces the natural "forget support" map $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U,\mathscr{F}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(U,\mathscr{F})$, the image of which we define to be the **inner cohomology** $\mathrm{H}^i_!(U,\mathscr{F})$.

3.3. **Inner étale cohomology and the relation to Selmer groups.** Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf on $U_{\text{ét}}$ with generic fiber the finite Gal_k-module M. A part of the localisation sequence for an open $V \subset U$ reads

$$\bigoplus_{v\in U\setminus V}\mathrm{H}^1_v(U,\mathscr{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U,\mathscr{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(V,\mathscr{M}) \to \bigoplus_{v\in U\setminus V}\mathrm{H}^2_v(U,\mathscr{M}). \tag{3.1}$$

As $\mathrm{H}^1_v(U,\mathscr{M})=0$ for locally constant sheaves $\mathscr{M}$, we find that

$$\mathrm{H}^1(U,\mathscr{M})\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k,M)=\varinjlim_V \mathrm{H}^1(V,\mathscr{M})$$

is injective. Let $\mathbb{L}=(\mathbb{L}_v)$ be a collection of local conditions for M. We define the étale cohomology with local conditions as

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(U,\mathscr{M})=\ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(U,\mathscr{M})\to\prod_v \mathrm{H}^1(k_v,M)/\mathbb{L}_v\Big),\tag{3.2}$$

which agrees with $\mathrm{H}^1(U,\mathscr{M})\cap\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,M)$ inside $\mathrm{H}^1(k,M)$. In particular, we have étale cohomology $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U,A_{p^n})$ with Selmer condition for a Zariski open $U\subset X$ with good reduction of A over U and a prime number p invertible on U.

Proposition 2. *If $\mathbb{L}_v=\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v,M)$ for all $v\in U$ then*

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(U,\mathscr{M})=\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,M).\tag{3.3}$$

If in addition $\mathbb{L}_v=\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,M)$ for all $v\notin U$, then $\mathrm{H}^1(U,\mathscr{M})=\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,M)$, whereas if in addition $\mathbb{L}_v=0$ for all $v\notin U$, then $\mathrm{H}^1_!(U,\mathscr{M})=\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,M)$.

Proof: By definition of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(U,\mathscr{M})$ it suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,M)\subset\mathrm{H}^1(U,\mathscr{M})$. Any class $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^1(k,M)$ lies in $\mathrm{H}^1(V,\mathscr{M})$ for small enough open $V\subset U$. The claim follows from (3.1) because the image of an $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,M)$ in $\mathrm{H}^2_v(U,\mathscr{M})=\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,M)/\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v,M)$ vanishes.

The additional claims follow from (3.3), the definition (3.2), and the exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{v\in X\setminus U}\mathrm{H}^{i-1}(k_v,M)\to\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U,\mathscr{M})\to\mathrm{H}^i(U,\mathscr{M})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_v}\bigoplus_{v\in X\setminus U}\mathrm{H}^i(k_v,M)\tag{3.4}$$

for $i\geq 0$. These exact sequences arise from the localisation sequence for $U\subset X$ and the sheaf $j_!\mathscr{M}$ because by excision $\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_v(X,j_!\mathscr{M})=\mathrm{H}^i(k_v,M)$. □

Corollary 3. *Let A/k be an abelian variety with good reduction over U and let p be a rational prime invertible on U. With Q equal to the set of finite places $v\notin U$ we have*

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_Q}(k,A_{p^n})=\mathrm{H}^1(U,A_{p^n})\tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^Q}(k,A_{p^n})=\mathrm{H}^1_!(U,A_{p^n}).\tag{3.6}$$

Proof: By assumption for $v\in U$ we have $\mathrm{Sel}_v=\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v,A_{p^n})$, so the corollary follows from Proposition 2. □

Corollary 4. *Let $U\subset X$ be a Zariski open where the abelian variety A/k has good reduction and p is invertible. By abuse of notation we also denote by $A\to U$ the smooth model of A/k. Then for an open $V\subset U$ the restriction map $\mathrm{H}^1(U,A)\to\mathrm{H}^1(V,A)$ is injective and*

$$Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty}\subseteq\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k,A)_{p^\infty}\subseteq\mathrm{H}^1(U,A)\subseteq\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)=\varinjlim_{V\subset U}\mathrm{H}^1(V,A).$$

Proof: An element of $\mathrm{H}^1(U,A)$ is represented by a principal homogeneous space W under A over U, which is trivial if and only $W(U)$ is nonempty. The valuative criterion of properness yields $W(U)=W(V)$ which proves the claim on injectivity.

Now $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k,A)_{p^n}$ consists of classes that are trivial outside all $v\mid p$, see (1.2), hence is contained in the image of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(U,A_{p^n})=\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k,A_{p^n})\to\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)$ which maps to $\mathrm{H}^1(U,A)$. □

3.4. **Artin–Verdier duality and inner cohomology.** Duality theory for étale cohomology of rings of integers was developed by Artin–Verdier, see [Zi78]. We recall the duality theorem below but consider only odd coefficients to ignore the ramifications of the theory caused by real places. The constraint of our main results to odd primes p comes from essential difficulties in the inductive setup of Section §6 and is not caused by our slackness towards $p=2$ and duality.

Theorem 5 (Artin–Verdier duality)**.** *Let k be a number field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}_k$. Let $U \subset X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$ be a nonempty Zariski open, and let p be an odd prime number which is invertible on U. Then for a p-primary locally constant constructible sheaf $\mathscr{M}$ on U the pairing with the Cartier dual $\mathscr{M}^D = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{M}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ induces a perfect pairing*

$$\mathrm{H}^i(U, \mathscr{M}^D) \times \mathrm{H}^{3-i}_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \mathscr{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^3(X, j_! \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

of finite abelian groups.

Proof: We apply the duality theorem for X and $j_! \mathscr{M}$ of [Zi78] Theorem 3.2

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_X(j_! \mathscr{M}, \mathbb{G}_m) \times \mathrm{H}^{3-i}(X, j_! \mathscr{M}) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Because $\operatorname{Ext}^i_X(j_! \mathscr{M}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \operatorname{Ext}^i_U(\mathscr{M}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ it suffices to show that the Ext spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{E}_2^{ij} = \mathrm{H}^i(U, \mathscr{E}xt^j(\mathscr{M}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{i+j}_U(\mathscr{M}, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

collapses with $\mathrm{E}_2^{ij} = 0$ for $j > 0$. This is proved in [Zi78] Lemma 3.2.2. ☐

Corollary 6. *Let $U \subset X$ be as above, and let $\mathscr{M}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $U_{\text{ét}}$ with stalks of odd order invertible on U. Then $\mathrm{H}^i_!(U, \mathscr{M})$ is Pontryagin dual to $\mathrm{H}^{3-i}_!(U, \mathscr{M}^D)$.*

Proof: The corollary follows immediately because the map $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \mathscr{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(U, \mathscr{M})$ is Pontryagin dual to $\mathrm{H}^{3-i}_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \mathscr{M}^D) \to \mathrm{H}^{3-i}(U, \mathscr{M}^D)$ by Theorem 5. Indeed, not only the groups are dual, but also the maps, because in the derived category we may compute

$$\Big(j_! \mathscr{F}^D \to \mathrm{R}\, j_* \mathscr{F}^D\Big) = \Big(j_!\, \mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om(\mathscr{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{R}\, j_*\, \mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om(\mathscr{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)\Big)$$

$$= \Big(\mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om(\mathrm{R}\, j_* \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om(j_! \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)\Big) = \mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om(-, \mathbb{G}_m)\Big(j_! \mathscr{F} \to \mathrm{R}\, j_* \mathscr{F}\Big)$$

which upon applying $\mathrm{R}^{3-i}\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, -)$ yields the map $\mathrm{H}^{3-i}_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \mathscr{M}^D) \to \mathrm{H}^{3-i}(U, \mathscr{M}^D)$ under discussion or, since

$$\mathrm{R}^{3-i}\Gamma\big(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathrm{R}\mathscr{H}om(-, \mathbb{G}_m)\big) = \operatorname{Ext}^{3-i}_X(-, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{H}^i(X, -)^\vee,$$

the dual of $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \mathscr{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(U, \mathscr{M})$. ☐

4. DIVISIBILITY BY A FIXED POWER OF p

In the sequel the field k will always be an algebraic number field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}_k$ and U will be a nonempty Zariski open in $X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k)$.

4.1. The obstruction class.

Divisibility by p^r is controlled by an obstruction class as follows.

Proposition 7. *Let A/k be an abelian variety with good reduction over U and let p be invertible on U. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \cap p^r \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(U, A) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\delta_r} \mathrm{H}^2_!(U, A_{p^r}). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof: The short exact sequence $0 \to A_{p^r} \to A \xrightarrow{p^r \cdot} A \to 0$ on $U_{\text{ét}}$ yields the short exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}^1(U, A) \xrightarrow{p^r \cdot} \mathrm{H}^1(U, A) \xrightarrow{\delta_r} \mathrm{H}^2(U, A_{p^r})$$

As $\delta_r(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A))$ takes values in $\mathrm{H}^2_!(U, A_{p^r})$ by naturality and the exact sequence (3.4), the exactness of (4.1) follows. ☐

Corollary 8. *If $\mathrm{H}^2_!(U, A_{p^r}) = 0$ then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \subseteq p^r \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(U, A)$.*

Proof: An $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty}$ is divisible by p^r in $\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)$ if and only if $\delta_r(\alpha)$ is trivial. ☐

4.2. **Criteria for divisibility by a power of p.** For an integer n we consider the Galois representation $\rho_{A,n} : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathrm{GL}(A_{p^n})$ on the p^n-torsion of A which is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $2\dim(A)$. We write G_n or $G_n(A)$ for the image of $\rho_{A,n}$.

Theorem 9. *(1) Let A/k be an abelian variety with good reduction over U, such that the p-Sylow subgroup of $G_n(A^t)$ is cyclic, where A^t is the dual abelian variety. Then for a sufficiently small open $V \subset U$ we find $\mathrm{H}^2_!(V, A_{p^n}) = 0$.*

(2) Let E/k be an elliptic curve with good reduction over U. Then for a sufficiently small open $V \subset U$ we find $\mathrm{H}^2_!(V, E_p) = 0$.

(3) For an elliptic curve E/k we have $Ш(E/k) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E) \subset p \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$.

Proof: (2) implies (3) by Corollary 8, and (1) implies (2) because a p-Sylow of $G_1(E^t)$ is a p-group in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ which must be cyclic. Assertion (1) is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V, (A_{p^n})^D) = 0$ by Corollary 6. Because the Cartier-dual $(A_{p^n})^D = (A^t)_{p^n}$ belongs to the dual abelian variety A^t we may replace A by A^t and show $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V, A_{p^n}) = 0$ whenever the p-Sylow in $G_n(A)$ is cyclic.

The representation $\rho_{A,n}$ is unramified over U. Let $U_n \to U$ be the $G_n(A)$-Galois finite étale cover corresponding to the factorization $\rho_{A,n} : \pi_1(U) \twoheadrightarrow G_n(A)$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(G_n(A), A_{p^n}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, A_{p^n}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(U_n), A_{p^n}).$$

Chebotarev's density theorem allows us to choose a finite auxilliary set of places Q' of k large enough so that $\mathfrak{q} \in Q'$ is completely split in U_n/U and the Frobenius elements $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \pi_1(U_n)$ generate the finite group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(U_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. We choose $Q = Q' \cup \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}$ with one further unramified prime $\mathfrak{q}_0$ such that $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}_0}$ generates the p-Sylow subgroup of $G_n(A)$. With $V' = U \setminus Q'$ we find $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V', A_{p^n}) \subset \mathrm{H}^1(G_n(A), A_{p^n})$ and with $V = U \setminus Q$ we find $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V, A_{p^n}) = 0$. □

Remark 10. Theorem 9 (2) was proved by Tate and written up by Cassels as [Cas62] Lemma 5.1, which moreover shows that $\mathrm{H}^2_!(k, M) = 0$ for any Gal_k-modules M whose underlying group is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space of dimension 2. The proof given by Cassels following Tate relies on class field theory and differs from ours.

Following Jannsen [Ja82] we define for a finite group G and a G-module M the subgroup

$$\mathrm{H}^i_*(G, M) = \ker\Big(\mathrm{H}^i(G, M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \prod_{g \in G} \mathrm{H}^i(\langle g \rangle, M)\Big),$$

where $\langle g \rangle \subseteq G$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by g. What the proof of Theorem 9 actually shows is the following.

Corollary 11. *(1) For an abelian variety A/k with good reduction over U we have for sufficiently small $V \subset U$ that $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V, A_{p^n}) \subset \mathrm{H}^1_*(G_n(A), A_{p^n})$.*

(2) In particular, if $\mathrm{H}^1_*(G_n(A^t), A^t_{p^n})$ vanishes, then for sufficiently small $V \subset U$ we have $\mathrm{H}^2_!(V, A_{p^n}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \subset p^n \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(V, A)$.

We can now easily deduce the following corollary which is a special case of Theorem 20.

Corollary 12. *Let k be a number field and E/k be an elliptic curve with good reduction over U such that $G_1(E)$ is non-solvable. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for sufficiently small $V \subset U$ depending on n we have $Ш(E/k)_{p^\infty} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)_{p^\infty} \subset p^n \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(V, E)$.*

Proof: [ÇW08] Proposition 1.3.1 shows that $\mathrm{H}^1(G_n(E), E_{p^n}) = 0$ under the given assumptions on E. Corollary 11 (2) then proves the claim since elliptic curves are their own duals. □

5. REVIEW OF BASHMAKOV'S RESULTS

5.1. **When the pro-p fundamental group is free.** In [Ba64] Bashmakov proves p-divisibility in the arithmetic case for abelian varieties A/k with very special properties. First, the number field k is required to satisfy the following conditions:

(i) k contains the p^{th} roots of unity,
(ii) there is a unique $\mathfrak{p} \mid (p)$ in $k/\mathbb{Q}$,
(iii) and the class number of k is prime to p.

It is a well known result of Shafarevich [Sh63], that conditions (i)–(iii) imply that the complement $U = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ has a free pro-p group as its maximal pro-p quotient $\pi_1(U)^{\mathrm{pro}-p}$ of its fundamental group, see [NSW08] Cor 10.7.14. Moreover, the abelian variety A/k has to satisfy:

(iv) A/k has good reduction above U,
(v) the action of Gal_k on A_p factors over a p-group.

In [Ba64], Bashmakov does not require condition (v), although his argument at the very end does depend on it. Namely, under these assumptions we compute by Theorem 1

$$\mathrm{H}^2_!(U, A_{p^n}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(U, A_{p^n}) = \mathrm{H}^2(\pi_1(U), A_{p^n}).$$

Due to (v) and a theorem of Neumann [Ne75], see [NSW08] Cor 10.4.8, we find that

$$\mathrm{H}^2(\pi_1(U), A_{p^n}) = \mathrm{H}^2(\pi_1(U)^{\mathrm{pro}-p}, A_{p^n})$$

which vanishes in view of the freeness of $\pi_1(U)^{\mathrm{pro}-p}$ recalled above. Hence, by Corollary 8, we find for all $n \geq 1$ that $Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \subset p^n \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(U, A)$. Because we may find p^nth roots with good reduction over a fixed U, we have even

$$Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \subset \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)\right),$$

see the criterion given in Proposition 43 and the fact that $\varprojlim^1_n \mathrm{H}^1(U, A_{p^n}) = 0$ due to the Mittag–Leffler condition.

Examples of abelian varieties above the p^{th} cyclotomic field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ with respect to a regular prime p and which satisfy the constraints imposed by Bashmakov (including condition (v)) are given by the Jacobian of the Fermat curve $X^p + Y^p = 1$, see for example [AI82]. However, the natural second family of examples to consider, namely the Jacobian of the modular curve $X_0(p)$ for $p = 11$ or $p \geq 17$, fail condition (v). Indeed[3], no prime $\mathfrak{m}$ of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}$ above p is Eisenstein (those divide $p-1$) and the corresponding representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m})$ is irreducible by Mazur [Ma78]. Thus $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is surjective due to [Ri97].

Our proof of the case $p = 11$ in Theorem 29 (1) depends on the following variant of Bashmakov's theorem.

Proposition 13. *Let p be a regular prime number. Let k be a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and let A/k be an abelian variety with good reduction away from the prime above p. If $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ acts via a p-group on A_p, then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty}$ agrees with the p-part of $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))$.*

Proof: We set $U = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_k[1/p])$ and write by abuse of notation A/U for the smooth model of A/k over U. By Corollary 8 and the discussion above it suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^2_!(U, A_{p^n}) = 0$. But since $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/k$ is of order prime to p, restriction via the finite étale $V = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p, 1/p]) \to U$ is injective and we have further by Neumann's Theorem, see [NSW08] Cor 10.4.8, as above

$$\mathrm{H}^2_!(U, A_{p^n}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(U, A_{p^n}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(V, A_{p^n}) = \mathrm{H}^2(\pi_1(V)^{\mathrm{pro}-p}, A_{p^n}) = 0$$

because p being a regular prime corresponds to $\pi_1(V)^{\mathrm{pro}-p}$ being a free pro-p group. We conclude that $\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)$ is a p-divisible group and therefore

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} = \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)_{p^\infty}\right) = \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right).$$

□

5.2. **Divisibility by almost all primes.** After [Ba64], Bashmakov turns his attention to more general abelian varieties A/k and gives a partial answer to his question, at least when p is large. In [Ba72] §5, the treatment is said to be restricted to CM-abelian varieties, but is only carried out for elliptic curves.

Theorem 14 (Bashmakov [Ba72] Proposition 22)**.** *Let k be an algebraic number field and let E/k be an elliptic curve. Then for almost all p we have $Ш(E/k)_{p^\infty} \subseteq \mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, E))$. In particular, for such p, every class in $Ш(E/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$.*

---

[3] We thank K. Ribet for information on the Galois representation of the modular Jacobian.

Bashmakov's main tool in the proof of Theorem 14 is provided by a result of Serre [Se79] that the image of Gal_k acting on the full Tate module $\mathrm{T}\, E = \prod_\ell \mathrm{T}_\ell\, E$ contains an open subgroup of the diagonal torus. For an abelian variety instead of an elliptic curve but restricted to the ℓ-component this is due to Bogomolov. The bound for p such that Theorem 14 holds provided by Bashmakov's method depends on the index of this open subgroup in the diagonal torus. In the meantime, Serre has improved his result so that this approach now shows the following.

Theorem 15. *Let k be an algebraic number field and let A/k be an abelian variety. Then for almost all p we have $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k,A)_{p^\infty} = \mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A))_{p^\infty}$. In particular, for such p, every class in $Ш(A/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)$.*

Our proof of Theorem 15 depends on the analysis done in Section §6 and Lemma 37 and is thus postponed until after Lemma 37.

When spelled out for a general abelian variety A/k, with $Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{d_p} \oplus T(p)$ as in Section §2.1, Theorem 15 says that almost all p-primary components $T(p)$ of the torsion group

$$T = \bigoplus_p T(p) \cong Ш(A/k)/\,\mathrm{div}\,\big(Ш(A/k)\big)$$

are contained in $\mathrm{div}\,\big(\mathrm{H}^1(k,A)\big)$. The conjectured finiteness of $Ш(A/k)$ or even the weaker finiteness of T would immediately imply the conclusion with respect to $Ш(A/k)$ of Theorem 15. Finiteness of $Ш(A/k)$ is known for $k=\mathbb{Q}$, and A is an elliptic curve of analytic rank ≤ 1.

Our aim is to identify conditions that a prime p must satisfy for the conclusion of Theorem 15 to hold, which are sufficient and as close as possible to being necessary. We do this in the case when A is an elliptic curve (see Theorem 20 and Theorem 34). In view of our results it is tempting to ask, whether the bound for p in Theorem 15 depends only on k and maybe $\dim(A)$ but not on the particular abelian variety A/k. Theorem 22 (2) provides such a uniform bound on p in terms of only the degree of $k/\mathbb{Q}$ in the case of elliptic curves.

6. BY INDUCTION TO p-DIVISIBILITY

6.1. **The induction setup.** We fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and pick for all $m \leq n$ a collection of local conditions $\mathbb{L}_m = (\mathbb{L}_{m,v})$ for $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A_{p^m})$ such that for all v the following diagram is commutative and the rows are exact

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbb{L}_{m-1,v} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{L}_{m,v} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{L}_{1,v} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_{p^{m-1}})/\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}\big(A_p(k_v)\big) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_{p^m}) \arrow[r, "p^{m-1}\cdot"] & \mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_p) &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.1}$$

Examples are given by $\mathbb{L}_{m,v} = \mathrm{Sel}_{m,v} := \delta_{\mathrm{kum}}(A(k_v)/p^mA(k_v))$, or by $\mathbb{L}_{m,v} = 0$. The snake lemma applied to (6.1) yields that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_p(k) \arrow[r, "\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{H}^1(k,A_{p^{m-1}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{H}^1(k,A_{p^m}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{H}^1(k,A_p) \arrow[d] \\
\prod_v A_p(k_v) \arrow[r, "\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}"] & \prod_v \frac{\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_{p^{m-1}})}{\mathbb{L}_{m-1,v}} \arrow[r] & \prod_v \frac{\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_{p^m})}{\mathbb{L}_{m,v}} \arrow[r] & \prod_v \frac{\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_p)}{\mathbb{L}_{1,v}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.2}$$

the bottom row is exact. We shall write $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,A_{p^m})$ for $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}_m}(k,A_{p^m})$.

Lemma 16. *Suppose that*

1. *for all $m \leq n$ we have a collection of local conditions $\mathbb{L}_m = (\mathbb{L}_{m,v})$ for $\mathrm{H}^1(k,A_{p^m})$ such that for all v the diagram (6.1) is commutative and its rows are exact;*
2. *the image of $A_p(k_v)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(k_v,A_{p^{m-1}})/\mathbb{L}_{m-1,v}$ is trivial for all v and all $m \leq n$;*
3. *$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k,A_p) = 0$.*

Then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, A_{p^m}) = 0$ *for all* $m \leq n$.

Proof: Under the above assumptions, we can apply the snake lemma to (6.2) and deduce that

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, A_{p^{m-1}}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, A_{p^m}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, A_p) \tag{6.3}$$

is in fact exact. We conclude by induction on m stopping at n that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(k, A_{p^m}) = 0$. □

The image of $A_p(k_v)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A_{p^{m-1}})/\mathbb{L}_{m-1,v}$ is trivial for all $m \leq n$ if one of the following holds:

(a) $\mathrm{Sel}_{m-1,v} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{m-1,v}$ for all $m \leq n$, or
(b) A_{p^n} has trivial local Galois action by Gal_{k_v}.

If (a) holds, then the image of $A_p(k_v)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A_{p^{m-1}})/\mathbb{L}_{m-1,v}$ it trivial because by definition $\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}\big(A_p(k_v)\big) \subseteq \mathrm{Sel}_{m-1,v} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{m-1,v}$. If (b) holds, then $A_{p^m}(k_v)/A_{p^{m-1}}(k_v) \to A_p(k_v)$ is surjective for $m \leq n$, hence $\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}(A_p(k_v)) = 0$ and the desired result follows.

It seems difficult to set up an inductive argument to prove $\mathrm{H}^1_!(U, A_{p^m}) = 0$ for all $m \leq n$ directly. Nevertheless, since $\mathrm{H}^1_!(U, A_{p^m})$ is a subgroup of any $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbb{L}}(U, A_{p^m})$, we have the following corollary.

Proposition 17. *Let* A/k *be an abelian variety,* $n \geq 1$ *an integer, and* Q_n *a finite set of finite places such that*

(i) $A^t_{p^n}$ *has trivial local Galois action by* Gal_{k_v} *for all* $v \in Q_n$*, and*
(ii) $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^{Q_n}}(k, A^t_p) = 0$.

Then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \subseteq p^n \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$.

Proof: By (i) the local condition "Selmer but trivial at Q_n", namely $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^{Q_n}}$, satisfies the first two requirement of Lemma 16, and with (ii) we deduce $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^{Q_n}}(k, A^t_{p^m}) = 0$ for all $m \leq n$. Let V be a Zariski open of the curve X associated to k in the sense of Section §1.3.1, such that A/k has good reduction above V and all primes above p and all the elements of Q_n lie outside of V. Then $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V, A^t_{p^m}) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^{Q_n}}(k, A^t_{p^m})$ and hence $\mathrm{H}^1_!(V, A^t_{p^m}) = 0$ for all $m \leq n$. The rest follows from the duality statement Corollary 6 and Corollary 8. □

6.2. Galois reformulation.

It remains the task to find conditions which ensure the existence of the set Q_n that is needed in Proposition 17, i.e., a finite set Q_n of finite places of k such that

(1) Gal_{k_v} acts trivially on $A^t_{p^n}$ for all $v \in Q_n$, and
(2) $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^{Q_n}}(k, A^t_p) = 0$.

Let k_1/k be the Galois extension associated to the kernel of $\rho_{A^t,1} : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathrm{GL}(A^t_p)$. We set $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k_1/k, A^t_p)$ for the intersection of $\mathrm{H}^1(k_1/k, A^t_p)$ under inflation with $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U, A^t_p)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, A^t_p)$, where $U \subset X$ is a Zariski open set where A/k has good reduction and p is invertible. Then we have the following short exact seqeunce

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k_1/k, A^t_p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inf}} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U, A^t_p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1(A^t)}(\pi_1(U_1)^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p, A^t_p) \tag{6.4}$$

where $U_1 \to U$ is the finite étale unramified cover of U associated to the kernel of $\rho_{A^t,1}$. The restriction map defines a canonical Gal_k-equivariant pairing

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U, A^t_p) \times \big(\pi_1(U_1)^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\big) \to A^t_p.$$

Let $\pi_1(U_1)^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \twoheadrightarrow M$ be the finite quotient by the right kernel of the pairing. Then the restriction factors as

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U, A^t_p) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1(A^t)}(M, A^t_p) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1(A^t)}(\pi_1(U_1)^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p, A^t_p).$$

The quotient M corresponds to a finite Galois extension L/k that is unramified over U and contains k_1. More precisely $M = \mathrm{Gal}(L/k_1)$ and the action of $G_1(A^t) = \mathrm{Gal}(k_1/k)$ is essentially by conjugation.

Consider $v \in U$, v_1 a prime of k_1 above v, and denote by $k_{v,1}$ the completion of k_1 at v_1. Then the localization at v yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U, A^t_p) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}_{k_1/k}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1(A^t)}(\mathrm{Gal}(L/k_1), A^t_p) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_v"] \\
\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_v, A^t_p) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}_{k_{v,1}/k_v}"] & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(k_{v,1}, A^t_p) = A^t_p
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the evaluation map ev_v mapping a morphism $\varphi : \mathrm{Gal}(L/k_1) \to A^t_p$ to its value $\varphi(\mathrm{Frob}_{v_1})$ at the Frobenius element of v. This observation implies immediately the following lemma.

Lemma 18. *Let $Q \subset U$ be a finite set of primes such that the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k_1)$ is generated by Frob_{v_1} where v_1 varies through the primes of k_1 above primes $v \in Q$. Then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^Q}(U, A^t_p) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k_1/k, A^t_p)$ agree by inflation.* □

Proposition 19. *Let L/k be the Galois extension as above. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let k_n/k be the extension associated to the kernel of $\rho_{A^t,n} : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathrm{GL}(A^t_{p^n})$. If*

(i) *L and k_n are linearly disjoint over k_1, and*
(ii) $\mathrm{H}^1(G_1(A^t), A^t_p) = 0$,

then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \subseteq p^n \cdot \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$.

Proof: By (i) we are able to choose a finite set Q of finite places in the locus of good reduction away from residue characteristic p, so that the Frobenius elements Frob_v for $v \in Q$ satisfy

(1) the image of Frob_v in $\mathrm{Gal}(k_n/k)$ is trivial,
(2) the images of Frob_v for $v \in Q$ generate $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k_1)$.

From (2) we deduce by Lemma 18, that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^Q}(U, A^t_p) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k_1/k, A^t_p)$ which vanishes by (ii). We conclude with Proposition 17. □

Condition (i) of Proposition 19 can be guaranteed as follows. The group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k_1) = M$ is abelian and its $G_1 = G_1(A^t)$-action is compatible with the inclusion

$$M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\left(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(U, A^t_p), A^t_p\right).$$

So the irreducible G_1-modules which occur in M are among the G_1-submodules of A^t_p with its tautological G_1-action. On the other hand, the group $\mathrm{Gal}(k_n/k_1)$ is a subgroup of

$$\ker\left(\mathrm{GL}(A^t_{p^n}) \to \mathrm{GL}(A^t_p)\right).$$

If L and k_n are not linearly disjoint over k_1, then there is an abelian quotient of $\mathrm{Gal}(k_n/k_1)$ as a G_1-module which is isomorphic to an irreducible factor of A^t_p as a G-module. The group $\ker\left(\mathrm{GL}(A^t_{p^n}) \to \mathrm{GL}(A^t_p)\right)$ is solvable with abelian subquotients $\ker\left(\mathrm{GL}(A^t_{p^m}) \to \mathrm{GL}(A^t_{p^{m-1}})\right)$ that are canonically G_1-modules and isomorphic to the adjoint representation of G_1 on $\mathrm{End}(A^t_p)$. So condition (i) of Proposition 19 holds if for the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space $V = A^t_p$ and the group $G_1 \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ the two G_1-modules V and $\mathrm{End}(V)$ have no common G_1-factor. We will discuss this purely group theoretic issue for the case of elliptic curves, i.e., the case GL_2, in Section §7 below. The following theorem on p-divisibility follows at once.

Theorem 20. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve and let p be a prime number. We assume that the following holds for $G_1(E) = \mathrm{im}\left(\rho_{E,1} : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathrm{GL}(E_p)\right)$.*

(1) *The group $G_1(E)$ is not contained in a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(E_p)$ that is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_3, in particular $p > 2$; and*
(2) *If E_p is a reducible $G_1(E)$-module, then its semisimplification E_p^{ss} is not of the form*
    (a) *$\mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$ with the mod p cyclotomic character ϵ_p, or*
    (b) *$\chi \oplus \chi^2$ for a character $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$.*

Then the elements of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)$ and in particular of Ш(E/k) are p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$.

Proof: Since the determinant of $\rho_{E,1}$ is the cyclotomic character ϵ_p, conditions (1) and (2) guarantee by Theorem 34 below that E_p and $\mathrm{End}(E_p)$ have no common irreducible factor as $G_1(E)$-modules, and that $\mathrm{H}^1(G_1(E), E_p) = 0$. We deduce by the above that the extensions k_n/k_1 and L/k_1 are linearly disjoint for every $n \geq 1$. Proposition 19 concludes the proof. $\square$

6.3. Applications to elliptic curves over number fields.

We first analyze the conditions asked by Theorem 20 in the case of elliptic curves over arbitrary number fields.

Corollary 21. *Let k be a number field linearly disjoint from the cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then for 'most' elliptic curves E/k, in particular for infinitely many of them, the group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)$ and in particular $Ш(E/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$ for all odd primes p.*

Proof: Jones [Jo10] (for $k = \mathbb{Q}$) and Zywina [Zy10] consider the set of elliptic curves $Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$ such that a and b are integers of k and $h(a, b) < x$ (where h denotes a height on such pairs). They show that the ratio of the cardinality of the subset of elliptic curves such that $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) : G_1(E)] \leq 2$ for every prime p by the total number of curves in the box $h(a, b) < x$ approaches 1 as x goes to infinity. Hence in this sense for 'most' elliptic curves E/k the conditions of Theorem 20 hold for every prime $p > 2$. $\square$

Theorem 22. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve defined over an algebraic number field k of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the following holds.*

(1) *The group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)$ and therefore $Ш(E/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$ for all $p \geq 5$ under the following conditions:*
  (i) *The extension $k(\zeta_p)/k$ has degree ≥ 3, and*
  (ii) *no elliptic curve E' which is k-isogenous to E has a k-rational p-torsion point, in particular if $p > (1 + 3^{d/2})^2$, and*
  (iii) *$p > (Nv + \sqrt{Nv})^2$ where $Nv = |\mathbb{F}_v|$ is the size of the residue class field $\mathbb{F}_v$ for a place $v \nmid 3p$ of k.*

(2) *If $p > (2^d + 2^{d/2})^2$, then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)$ and therefore $Ш(E/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$.*

Proof: (1) Since the determinant of $\rho_{E,1}$ is the cyclotomic character ϵ_p, property (i) shows that $\det(G_1(E))$ has order ≥ 3. This implies $G_1(E)$ is not contained in a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(E_p)$ that is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_3 (see Corollary 42). Hence, we are only concerned by the second condition of Theorem 20. Since (ii) implies that $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} \ncong \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$, it remains to verify that E_p^{ss} is not of the form $\chi \oplus \chi^2$ for some character $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$.

We argue by contradiction. Note that the ramification at $v \nmid 3p$ of a character χ that solves $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$ is at most tame and of degree $e_v \mid 3$. Let k'/k be an extension of degree e_v depending on v with a place $w \mid v$ of k' such that k'_w/k_v is totally tamely ramified of degree e_v. In particular, the size Nw of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_w$ at w agrees with Nv. It follows then from Abhyankar's Lemma and $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \chi \oplus \chi^2$ that the inertia group $\mathrm{I}_w \subset \mathrm{Gal}_{k'}$ acts unipotently on $E_p = \mathrm{T}_p(E)/p\,\mathrm{T}_p(E)$. Since a priori the action of inertia on $\mathrm{T}_p(E)$ is quasi-unipotent, Lemma 23 below applies, and I_w must even act unipotently. The criterion of semistable reduction [SGA7$_I$] IX §3 Proposition 3.5 then shows that E/k has semistable reduction at w after scalar extension to k'.

If E/k has multiplicative reduction in w, then there is an unramified quadratic character $\delta : \mathrm{Gal}_{k'_w} \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that as a $\mathrm{Gal}_{k'_w}$-representation

$$E_p^{\mathrm{ss}}|_{\mathrm{Gal}_{k'_w}} \cong \delta \oplus \delta\epsilon_p \cong \chi \oplus \chi^2.$$

If $\delta = \chi$ and $\delta\epsilon_p = \chi^2$, then $\delta = \chi = \epsilon_p$ and thus $\epsilon_p(\mathrm{Frob}_w) = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, which means

$$p \mid Nw \pm 1 = Nv \pm 1.$$

If on the other hand $\delta = \chi^2$ and $\delta\epsilon_p = \chi$, then $\delta = \chi^2 = \epsilon_p^2$ and thus $\epsilon_p(\mathrm{Frob}_w^2) = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, which means

$$p \mid (Nw)^2 \pm 1 = (Nv)^2 \pm 1.$$

Finally, if E/k has good reduction in w, then E_p is an unramified $\mathrm{Gal}_{k'_w}$-module and

$$a = a_w = 1 + Nw - |\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_w)| \equiv \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_w \,|E_p^{\mathrm{ss}}) \mod p$$

Since $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}}|_{\mathrm{Gal}_{k'_w}} \cong \chi \oplus \chi^2$ where $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$ (as in Mazur's argument presented in [Se79]) we deduce that

$$p \mid \big(\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_w)^2 + \chi(\mathrm{Frob}_w)\big)^3 - a^3 \equiv Nv + (Nv)^2 + 3Nv \cdot a - a^3 \mod p$$

with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{Nv}$ due to the Hasse–Weil bound. An analysis of the function $f(x) = 3Nx - x^3$ on the interval $-2\sqrt{N} \leq x \leq 2\sqrt{N}$ shows that

$$|3Nv \cdot a - a^3| \leq 2\sqrt{(Nv)^3}.$$

Consequently, we find

$$0 < (Nv - \sqrt{Nv})^2 \leq Nv + (Nv)^2 + 3Nv \cdot a - a^3 \leq (Nv + \sqrt{Nv})^2$$

which leads in the case of good reduction at w to

$$p \leq (Nv + \sqrt{Nv})^2.$$

Consequently, if $p > (Nv + \sqrt{Nv})^2$ then $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} \ncong \chi \oplus \chi^2$ as claimed.

(2) Observe that if $p > (2^d + 2^{d/2})^2$, then p must be odd and hence condition (iii) holds with respect to any place $v \mid 2$. Moreover, since $(2^d + 2^{d/2})^2 > (1 + 3^{d/2})^2$ condition (ii) holds due to the Merel-Oesterlé bound[4] for the rational torsion of elliptic curves over k, see [Me94]. Finally, for (i) we use the estimate

$$[k(\zeta_p) : k] \geq \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{p-1}{d} > \frac{(2^d + 2^{d/2})^2 - 1}{d} > \frac{4^d}{d} \geq \frac{1 + d \cdot 3}{d} > 3.$$

Therefore, (2) holds as a special case of (1). □

Lemma 23. *Let p be an odd prime number. Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be a quasi-unipotent continuous representation of a pro-finite group G such that the mod p representation $\overline{\rho} : G \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is unipotent. If ρ is not unipotent, then $p = 3$ and $\rho(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof: Since we assume that G acts quasi-unipotently, there is an open normal subgroup $G^0 \subset G$ such that $\rho|_{G^0}$ is unipotent. If G^0 acts nontrivially, then it fixes a unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$-line, and $\rho(G)$ lies in a Borel subgroup, namely the normalizer of the stabilizer of the line. Due to ρ being quasi-unipotent and $\overline{\rho}$ being unipotent, the corresponding diagonal characters map to a finite group of 1-units in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and therefore are trivial. Hence the representation ρ is unipotent.

If on the other hand $\rho(G^0) = 1$, then $\rho(G)$ is a torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and therefore isomorphic to its image in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (see Lemma 9 in [So07]). Since we may assume that ρ is nontrivial we conclude that $\rho(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and that we have a nontrivial $M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $M^p = 1$. The characteristic polynomial of M is quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and its roots are nontrivial p^{th} roots of unity. Hence the p^{th} cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ is at most quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, whence $p \leq 3$. □

Corollary 24. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve defined over an algebraic number field k, and let p be a prime number. Then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$ under the following conditions:*

1. *The extension $k(\zeta_p)/k$ has degree ≥ 3, and*
2. *one of the following holds:*
   - (a) *E_p is irreducible as a Gal_k-module, or*
   - (b) *E has good reduction above a place v of k with norm $Nv = 3$ and $p > 11$.*
   - (c) *no elliptic curve E' which is k-isogenous to E has a k-rational p-torsion point and $3 \mid p - 1$ but the degree of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \cap k$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is prime to 3.*
   - (d) *$3 \mid p-1$, the prime p is unramified in $k/\mathbb{Q}$, and $p > (1+3^{d/2})^2$ where d is the degree of $k/\mathbb{Q}$.*

Remark 25. The conditions (c) and (d) in Corollary 24 both already imply condition (1).

---

[4] Merel's bound is $p > 3^{3d^2}$, see [Me94]. The improvement due to Oesterlé from 1995 is unpublished. Moreover, Parent found a gap for $d = 3$ and $p = 43$, but was later able to repair the gap under an arithmetic assumption which was a consequence of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Fortunately, this assumption was later proved by Kato. For more information on the Oesterlé bound we refer to [Pa00] and [Pa03]. We thank J. Oesterlé for first hand information on his bound.

Proof of Corollary 24: As in the proof of Theorem 22 we deduce from (1) that the first condition of Theorem 20 holds. Hence, we are only concerned by the second condition of Theorem 20. We therefore assume that E_p is a reducible $G_1(E)$-representation with semi-simplification $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$. Under the assumption (a) we are done. Otherwise, in order to satisfy the second condition of Theorem 20 we have to exclude the following two cases:

(A) $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$,
(B) $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \chi \oplus \chi^2$ with $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$.

Under the assumption (d) we have $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \cap k = \mathbb{Q}$ and the assumption in (c) on k-rational torsion points holds again by the Merel-Oesterlé bound as in the proof of Theorem 22. So (d) in fact implies (c). Under the assumption (c) the option (A) is excluded and the equation $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$ has no solution, which excludes option (B).

It remains to discuss assumptions (b). Let v be a place of k with residue field $\mathbb{F}_v$ of cardinality Nv and where E has good reduction $\overline{E}/\mathbb{F}_v$, then as in the proof of Theorem 22 we have $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{Nv}$ and

$$a = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_v \,|\, \mathrm{T}_p(E)) \equiv \chi_1(\mathrm{Frob}_v) + \chi_2(\mathrm{Frob}_v) \mod p.$$

We distinguish the two cases and argue similarly to the proof of Theorem 22.

(A) If $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$, then
$$1 + Nv - a \equiv 0 \mod p.$$
(B) If $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \chi \oplus \chi^2$ with $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$, then
$$(Nv + (Nv)^2) + 3a \cdot Nv - a^3 \equiv \big(\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_v)^2 + \chi(\mathrm{Frob}_v)\big)^3 - a^3 \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

We finally exploit assumption (b), so that $Nv = 3$ and $|a| \leq 3$. The constraints in the two cases now are

(A) p divides one of $4 - a \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$,
(B) p divides one of $12 + 9a - a^3 \in \{12, 2, 4, 12, 20, 22, 12\}$.

All in all, if $p > 11$, none of the cases (A) or (B) can occur, and thus E/k satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 20 for p. ☐

For an elliptic curve E/k and a character $\tau : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \{\pm 1\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ we denote by E^τ the quadratic twist of E by τ. The p-torsion of the twist E^τ is given by $(E^\tau)_p = E_p \otimes \tau$ as a Gal_k-module.

Corollary 26. *Let k be a number field and let E/k be an elliptic curve.*

(1) *Let $p \geq 3$ be a fixed prime number. Then among the quadratic twists of E there are at most 3 twists E^τ such that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E^\tau)$ is not p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E^\tau)$.*
(2) *For all but a finite number of quadratic twists E^τ of E the group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E^\tau)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E^\tau)$ for all $p \geq 3$.*

Proof: (1) We verify the conditions of Theorem 20 for almost all quadratic twists. Since twisting is transitive, we may first assume that $G_1(E)$ is isomorphic to one of the groups

(a) 1,
(b) $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, noncentrally in $\mathrm{GL}(E_p)$ (see Corollary 42),
(c) $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$,
(d) S_3.

For a nontrivial $\tau : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \{\pm 1\}$ we find $G_1(E^\tau)$ equal to respectively

(a) $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, centrally in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$,
(b) $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$,
(c) $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$,
(d) $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, since a transposition in S_3 cannot be central in $\mathrm{GL}(E_p)$.

Consequently, every nontrivial twist E^τ satisfies condition (1) of Theorem 20, which means that in general, at most one of the twists of E/k can fail condition (1) of Theorem 20.

Secondly, we assume that E_p is reducible and that condition (2) of Theorem 20 fails. If a nontrivial twist E^τ also fails condition (2), then twisting by τ either preserves $\mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$ or $\chi \oplus \chi^2$

with $\chi^3 = \epsilon_p$, or it transforms one to the other. In any case, the character $\tau \neq \mathbf{1}$ is one of the following list

(a) $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p) \otimes \tau \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$: then $\tau = \epsilon_p$,
(b) $(\chi \oplus \chi^2) \otimes \tau \cong \chi \oplus \chi^2$: then $\tau = \chi = \epsilon_p$,
(c) $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p) \otimes \tau \cong \chi \oplus \chi^2$: then $\tau = \chi = \epsilon_p$ or $\tau = \chi^2 = \epsilon_p^2$,
(d) $(\chi \oplus \chi^2) \otimes \tau \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$: then $\tau = \chi = \epsilon_p$ or $\tau = \chi^2 = \epsilon_p^2$.

In any case $\tau = \epsilon_p$ or ϵ_p^2 which cannot both be nontrivial quadratic characters. Hence, condition (2) of Theorem 20 can in general only fail for at most 2 twists of E/k.

(2) Due to (1) it suffices to show that for $p \gg 3$ and all quadratic twists E^τ/k the group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E^\tau)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E^\tau)$. If E/k has no CM, then by [Se72] §4.4 we have $G_1(E) = \mathrm{GL}(E_p)$ for $p \gg 3$. If E/k has CM, then by [Se72] §4.5 the image $G_1(E)$ contains a full (split or non-split) torus $C \subset \mathrm{GL}(E_p)$ for $p \gg 3$. In both cases $G_1(E)$ contains the group of diagonal matrices $\cong \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and continues to contain at least the squares $\cong (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2 \neq 1$ after twisting. Lemma 37 then provides that the conditions of Theorem 20 are satisfied for all quadratic twists of E. □

Corollary 27. *Let k be a number field. For every $j \in k$ there is an elliptic curve E/k with j-invariant j such that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, E)$ and therefore $Ш(E/k)$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, E)$ for all odd primes p.*

Proof: Quadratic twists share the same j-invariant, and Corollary 26. □

Remark 28. Corollary 27 would be automatic for boring reasons if among the quadratic twists of a given E/k we could find a curve E^τ with $Ш(E^\tau/k) = 0$ or at least of order a power of 2.

6.4. **Applications to elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.** Now we attempt to find optimal results in the case of $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 29. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve defined over the rationals. Then the following holds.*

(1) *$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ and therefore $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ for all primes $p > 7$.*
(2) *Let p be an odd prime number such that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ is not p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$. Then we have one of the following cases.*
    (a) *$p = 3$ and $E_3^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_3$,*
    (b) *$p = 5$ and $E_5^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_5$, or $E_5^{\mathrm{ss}} = \epsilon_5^3 \oplus \epsilon_5^2$,*
    (c) *$p = 7$ and $E_7^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_7$.*
    In particular, in all the above cases the inertia group $\mathrm{I}_v \subset \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for a place $v \nmid p$ acts through a p-group on $\mathrm{T}_p(E)$. Moreover, in case (b) and (c) the curve E has semistable reduction outside of p.
(3) *$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ and therefore $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ for all odd primes p where E has supersingular or non-split multiplicative reduction at p.*

Proof: (1) The first condition of Corollary 24 is automatic for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $p > 3$. Hence, for $p > 3$ we are only concerned by the second condition of Corollary 24 or of Theorem 20. For this part we will start by using Corollary 24 and its proof. Due to Mazur [Ma78] Theorem 8, condition (c) of Corollary 24 holds for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $p \geq 13$ such that $3 \mid (p-1)$.

For $p > 13$ such that $3 \nmid (p-1)$, it remains to discuss whether E has good reduction at 3. If the elliptic curve E has a rational subgroup of order $p > 13$, by Proposition 1 in [Se79] we have that $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has potentially good reduction above all primes $\neq 2, p$. Furthermore, since if condition (2) of Theorem 20 fails the action on the semisimplification E_p^{ss} factors through ϵ_p, Proposition 3 in [Se79] shows that our $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has in fact good reduction at all primes $\neq 2, p$. In particular E has good reduction at 3 and so case (b) of Corollary 24 applies and settles the case $p > 13$.

We will now consider the case $p = 11$. By work of Mazur (see Theorem 2 in [Ma78]) we know that $E(\mathbb{Q})_{11}$ is trivial and hence all we need to prove is that E_{11}^{ss} is not of the form $\chi \oplus \chi^2$ for a character $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathbb{F}_{11}^*$ such that $\chi^3 = \epsilon_{11}$. Observe that $\chi^3 = \epsilon_{11}$ implies that $\chi = \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 7}$. We know that elliptic curves such that E_{11} is reducible correspond up to quadratic twist to non-cuspidal rational points[5] of $X_0(11)$ of which there are 3 (see [BK75] page 79). These three rational points correspond up to quadratic twist to the following three elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor 121 (the code is as in Cremona's list [Cr97] with the label from [BK75] page 79 in brackets):

elliptic curve	$[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$	j-invariant	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_2 \mid \mathrm{T}_{11}(E))$	E_{11}^{ss}
121-B1(D)	$[0, -1, 1, -7, 10]$	-2^{15}	0	$\epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 3} \oplus \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 8}$
121-C1(F)	$[1, 1, 0, -2, -7]$	-11^2	1	$\epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 4} \oplus \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 7}$
121-C2(G)	$[1, 1, 0, -3632, 82757]$	$-11 \cdot 131^3$	1	$\epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 4} \oplus \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 7}$

These elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ have good reduction outside 11. By reducing the affine equation of the respective elliptic curve modulo 2 and counting $|\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_2)|$ we compute

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_2 \mid \mathrm{T}_{11}(E)) = 2 + 1 - |\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_2)|$$

recorded in the table above. On the other hand, since E_{11} is reducible and unramified away from 11 class field theory tells us that $E_{11}^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \epsilon_{11}^{a} \oplus \epsilon_{11}^{b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ with $a + b \equiv 1 \mod 10$. To complete the above table we determine the pair (a, b) by comparing $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_2 \mid \mathrm{T}_{11}(E))$ with $2^a + 2^b \mod 11$ as follows.

(a, b)	$(0, 11)$	$(1, 10)$	$(2, 9)$	$(3, 8)$	$(4, 7)$	$(5, 6)$
$2^a + 2^b \mod 11$	3	3	-1	0	1	-3

If a quadratic twist $E^\tau/\mathbb{Q}$ has $E_{11}^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 7} \oplus \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 4} \cong E_{11}^{\mathrm{ss}} \otimes \tau$, then τ must be a power of ϵ_{11}, namely $\tau = \mathbf{1}$ or $\tau = \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 5}$, and so this does not occur for $\tau = \epsilon_{11}^{\otimes 5}$ in view of the above determined structure of E_{11}^{ss} in the three cases.

Consequently, in the case of $p = 11$ we are left with exactly two potential exceptions, the two 11-isogenous non-CM curves labeled 121-C1(F) and 121-C2(G). For these two curves only, the criterion of Theorem 20 does not apply. Let E be one of the elliptic curves labeled 121-C1(F) or 121-C2(G). Since E has good reduction outside the regular prime $p = 11$, and since the Galois action on E_{11}^{ss} factors over ϵ_{11} we deduce from Proposition 13 with $k = \mathbb{Q}$ that our potential exceptions obey the theorem as well.

(2) The list follows immediately from Theorem 20 and the analysis of its conditions in the proof of part (1). Note that $\chi^3 = \epsilon_7$ has no solution.

We concude that for $v \nmid p$ the inertia group I_v acts unipotently on $E_p = \mathrm{T}_p(E)/p\,\mathrm{T}_p(E)$. The action of I_v on $\mathrm{T}_p(E)$ is a priori quasi-unipotent, so that Lemma 23 applies and the action is in fact unipotent for $p = 5$ or $p = 7$. We conclude by the criterion for semistable reduction applies, see [SGA7$_I$] IX §3 Proposition 3.5.

(3) We now consider the case when E has either supersingular or non-split multiplicative reduction at p. In this case the second condition of Theorem 20 holds because

(i) if E has supersingular reduction at p then $\rho_{E,1}$ is irreducible (see Proposition 2.11 in [DDT97]); and
(ii) if E has non-split multiplicative reduction at p, we have that $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}}|_{\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \delta \oplus \delta\epsilon_p$ where δ is the unique unramified quadratic character of $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (see Proposition 2.12 in [DDT97]).

It remains to verify the first condition of Theorem 20 for $p = 3$. We argue by contradiction and assume that the image of $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(E_3)$ is contained in a subgroup isomorphic to S_3. Then the proof of Lemma 41 shows that E_3 is reducible with semisimplification $E_3^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_3$ and we are back in the case of the second condition of Theorem 20 that we already dealt with. □

Corollary 30. *Among the quadratic twists $E^\tau/\mathbb{Q}$ of an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ we find at most one odd prime number $p = 3, 5$ or 7 and at most*

(a) *2 twists for $p = 3$,*
(b) *2 twists for $p = 5$,*

---

[5] We thank Brian Conrad for suggesting this way of dealing with the prime 11.

(c) 1 *twist for* $p = 7$,

such that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E^\tau)$ *is not* p*-divisible in* $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E^\tau)$*. In case (b) and (c) such a twist has semistable reduction at all* $\ell \neq p$*. In particular, for all but at most* 2 *of the quadratic twists of* $E/\mathbb{Q}$ *we have that* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E^\tau)$ *and therefore* $Ш(E^\tau/\mathbb{Q})$ *is* p*-divisible in* $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E^\tau)$ *for all odd prime numbers* p*.*

Proof: The proof is straight forward following the proof of part (1) of Corollary 26 together with the knowledge of the precise structure of bad pairs (E, p) for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ from Theorem 29 (2). It remains to exclude that among the twists of a given $E/\mathbb{Q}$ more than one of the cases (a)–(c) can occur. Cases (b) and (c) cannot both among the twists for a fixed $E/\mathbb{Q}$ since no elliptic curve has rational isogeny of degree 35 by [Ke82] Theorem 1.

We argue by contradiction. Let us assume that the primes 3 and $p \in \{5, 7\}$ occur as bad primes among the twists of $E/\mathbb{Q}$. Note that for the prime $p = 5$ the two possible bad semisimplifications are twists of each other by ϵ_5^2. Therefore, after twisting, we may assume that $E_p^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_p$ and that there is a quadratic character τ with $E_3^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_3$.

It follows from Lemma 23 that an inertia group $\mathrm{I}_\ell \subset \mathrm{Gal}_\mathbb{Q}$ for $\ell \neq 3$ acts on $\mathrm{T}_3(E^\tau)$

1. either unipotently and E^τ has semistable reduction at ℓ,
2. or via a finite 3-group and E^τ has potentially good reduction at ℓ, more precisely with the image of inertia $\Phi_\ell \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

In the potentially good reduction case and for $\ell \neq p$ we find the same image Φ_ℓ in $\mathrm{GL}(E_p^\tau)$, namely as the image of inertia in the geometric automorphism group of the special fibre of the potential good reduction of E^τ. From $E_p^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \tau \oplus \tau\epsilon_p$ we conclude that the mod p representation E_p^τ does not allow I_ℓ to act via a 3-group. Thus $E^\tau/\mathbb{Q}$ is in fact semistable at ℓ. Consequently, the Galois module structure of $E_p^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}}$ now implies that τ must be unramified outside $3p$.

If τ ramifies at p, then $E_p^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \tau \oplus \tau\epsilon_p$ forbids semistable reduction at p for E^τ, whence $E_3^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_3$ shows that E^τ has potentially good reduction at p. More precisely, good reduction at p occurs after a Galois extension $k/\mathbb{Q}$ with ramification degree 3 above p (for example the extension $k = \mathbb{Q}(E_3^\tau)$ works). But then $E_p^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \tau \oplus \tau\epsilon_p$ still forbids good ordinary reduction. In case of supersingular reduction, the image of inertia $\mathrm{I}_{k,p} = \mathrm{I}_p \cap \mathrm{Gal}_k$ at p (after the extension) is contained in the intersection of a non-split torus in $\mathrm{GL}(E_p)$ with the split torus associated to the decomposition $E_p^{\tau,\mathrm{ss}} = \tau \oplus \tau\epsilon_p$. This intersection is contained in the central diagonal torus, and therefore τ and $\tau\epsilon_p$ agree on $\mathrm{I}_{k,p}$. This leads to $\epsilon_p(\mathrm{I}_{k,p}) = 1$ in contradiction to $\epsilon_p(\mathrm{I}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $|\,\mathrm{I}_p \,/\, \mathrm{I}_{k,p}\,| = 3$.

It follows that τ must be unramified outside 3, and then $\tau = \epsilon_3$ or $\tau = \mathbf{1}$ so that in any case $E_3^{\mathrm{ss}} = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \epsilon_3) \otimes \tau = \epsilon_3 \oplus \mathbf{1}$. Hence, there is an elliptic curve $E'/\mathbb{Q}$ with a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point of order $3p$ that is $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to E. This contradicts Mazur's list [Ma78] Theorem 8 of possible orders of rational torsion points. □

Remark 31. (1) It is interesting to note that the difficult odd prime numbers with respect to showing p-divisibility for elliptic curves E over $\mathbb{Q}$ are exactly the odd *Mazur primes numbers*, i.e., those prime numbers for which E may contain $\mathbb{Q}$-rational p-torsion elements. To some extent this is a consequence of our method, but it is tempting to look for a deeper connection.

(2) In this respect it is amusing that in order to produce p-torsion in $Ш(E^\tau/\mathbb{Q})$ for quadratic twists of $E/\mathbb{Q}$, a frequent assumption requires E to have a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational p-torsion point. For example, in [BO03] Theorem 2 Balog and Ono show that if $p = 3, 5$ or 7 such that E is good[6] with respect to p and has a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational p-torsion point, then with τ_d ranging over quadratic characters of fundamental discriminant d, and $E^d = E^{\tau_d}$, we have an asymptotic lower bound

$$\Big|\{0 < -d < x \ ; \ E^d \text{ has analytic rank } 0, \text{ and } Ш(E^d/\mathbb{Q})_p \neq 0\}\Big| \gg \frac{x^{1/2+1/(2p)}}{\log^2 x}$$

as x goes to infinity. In particular, an assumption that we like to avoid, namely having rational p-torsion, actually helps us to show that our p-divisibility result Corollary 30 is nontrivial because the Tate–Shafarevich group in question actually sometimes has nontrivial p-torsion.

---

[6] Apparently a mild constraint, see [BO03].

(3) Matsuno in [Ma07] Theorem 5.1 shows that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})_p$ is unbounded for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ or 13 among all elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$. More precisely, this result also searches for p-torsion elements in the Tate–Shafarevich group among quadratic twists of a given elliptic curve with a reducible mod p representation. The list of primes is exactly the list of primes p such that the modular curve $X_0(p)$ is rational.

Corollary 32. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve which does not have a rational 4-cyclic subgroup. Then among the quadratic twists of E there are infinitely many $E'/\mathbb{Q}$ with $Ш(E'/\mathbb{Q})$ divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E')$.*

Proof: Observe that if E has a 4-cyclic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ then it has a 2-cyclic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and thus a nontrivial $\mathbb{Q}$-rational 2-torsion point and $\#E_2(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$. Hence we have to consider the following three cases.

(1) $E_2(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$: by Theorem 1.4 of Mazur and Rubin [MR10] we know that E has infinitely many twists E' such that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q}, E'_2)$ is trivial and consequently so is $Ш(E'/\mathbb{Q})_2$.

(2) $E_2(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and E has no 4-cyclic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}$:
  (a) if E has no 4-cyclic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}(E_2)$ then E has infinitely many twists E' such that the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q}, E'_2)$ equals 1 (see Theorem 1.3 of Klagsbrun [Kl11]);
  (b) if E has a 4-cyclic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}(E_2)$ then since elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ do not have constant 2-Selmer parity [MR10], by Theorem 1.5 of Klagsbrun [Kl11] we have that E has infinitely many twists E' such that the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q}, E'_2)$ equals 1.

  Consequently, E has infinitely many quadratic twists E' such that $Ш(E'/\mathbb{Q})_2$ is trivial.

(3) $E_2(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and E has no 4-cyclic subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}$: by work of Heath-Brown [HB94], Swinnerton-Dyer [SD08] and Kane [Ka10] (see Theorem 2), we know that E has infinitely many twists E' such that the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q}, E'_2)$ equals 2 and hence $Ш(E'/\mathbb{Q})_2$ is trivial.

Then by Corollary 30 for an infinite subset of these twists E', in fact all but at most 2, we have that $Ш(E'/\mathbb{Q})$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E')$ for all primes p. □

Corollary 33. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve with $\mathbb{Q}$-rational 2-torsion, i.e., an elliptic curve in twisted Legendre form $aY^2 = X(X-1)(X-\lambda)$. Then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ and therefore $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is p-divisible in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ for all $p \geq 5$.*

Proof: A quadratic twist of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ still has $\mathbb{Q}$-rational 2-torsion. By Mazur [Ma78] Theorem 8, the only $\mathbb{Q}$-rational torsion of odd order that can occur for E is of order 3. Hence the cases (b) and (c) of Theorem 29 (2) cannot occur. Note that upon twisting by the quadratic ϵ_5^2 we interchange the two cases in (b). □

7. Finite subgroups of GL_2

The purpose of this section is to provide the following classification statement from the realm of finite group theory.

Theorem 34. *Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of dimension 2. For a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ the following are equivalent.*

(1) (i) *V and $\mathrm{End}(V)$ have no common irreducible factor as G-modules, and*
  (ii) $\mathrm{H}^1(G, V) = 0$.

(2) (i) *The group G is not contained in a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ that is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_3, in particular $p > 2$, and*
  (ii) *If V is a reducible G-module, namely an extension*

$$0 \to \chi_1 \to V \to \chi_2 \to 0 \tag{7.1}$$

for characters $\chi_i : G \to \mathbb{F}_p^*$, then we require that $\chi_1 \neq \mathbf{1}, \chi_2^2$ and $\chi_2 \neq \mathbf{1}, \chi_1^2$.

The proof of Theorem 34 requires some preparation. We first recall the well known classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, see §2 of [Se72].

Proposition 35. *Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and let $\overline{G}$ be the image under the natural map $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then one of the following holds.*

1. *$p \mid \#G$ and G is contained in a Borel $B \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*
2. *$p \mid \#G$ and G contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*
3. *$p \nmid \#G$ and G is contained in a normalizer of a split torus.*
4. *$p \nmid \#G$ and G is contained in a normalizer of a non-split torus.*
5. *$p \nmid \#G$ and $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to A_4, S_4, or A_5.*

We proceed by computing the relevant cohomology.

7.1. **Computation of cohomology.** A p-Sylow subgroup P of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is mapped under a suitable isomorphism $\mathrm{GL}(V) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ induced by a choice of basis for V to the standard p-Sylow subgroup

$$U = \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ ; \ b \in \mathbb{F}_p\} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

The normalizer N of P in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is mapped to the standard Borel subgroup

$$B = \{\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \ ; \ a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*, \ b \in \mathbb{F}_p\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

The two characters $\chi_i : N \to \mathbb{F}_p^*$ defined by the isomorphism $N \cong B$ and $\chi_i(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ occur in an exact sequence of N-modules

$$0 \to \chi_1 \to V \to \chi_2 \to 0. \tag{7.2}$$

The diagonal torus $T \subset B$ corresponds to a subgroup $S \subset N$ such that $N = P \rtimes S$ with S acting on P through the character $\chi_1/\chi_2 : S \subset N \to \mathbb{F}_p^* = \mathrm{Aut}(P)$.

For a subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $p \mid \#G$, up to conjugation, we may assume that $P \subset G$ and determine the normalizer $N_G(P)$ of P in G as $N \cap G$. The extension (7.2) restricts to an exact sequence as N-modules in which by abuse of notation we write $\chi_i = \chi_i|_{N_G(P)}$. As before, the semi-direct product structure $N_G(P) = P \rtimes (S \cap G)$ has $S \cap G \cong N_G(P)/P$ acting on P via the character χ_1/χ_2.

Lemma 36. *(1) If $p \nmid \#G$, then $\mathrm{H}^1(G, V) = 0$.*

(2) If $p \mid \#G$, then the $N_G(P)$-equivariant quotient map $\varphi : V \twoheadrightarrow \chi_2$ induces an injection

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G, V) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{N_G(P)}(P, \chi_2).$$

In particular, then $\mathrm{H}^1(G, V) = 0$ except possibly if $\chi_1 = \chi_2^2$.

Proof: (1) is clear and only recalled for completeness. For (2), as the index of $N_G(P)$ in G is prime to p we have $\mathrm{H}^1(G, V) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(N_G(P), V)$ so that we may assume $G = N_G(P)$. Since the index of P in G is prime to p, we find

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G, V) = \mathrm{H}^1(P, V)^{G/P}$$

and it remains to show that the map $\mathrm{H}^1(P, V) \to \mathrm{H}^1(P, \chi_2) = \mathrm{Hom}(P, \chi_2)$ is injective. We consider the long exact cohomology sequence for the extension (7.2). Since $\mathrm{H}^0(P, \chi_1) = \mathrm{H}^0(P, V)$, the connecting map

$$\delta : \mathbb{F}_p = \mathrm{H}^0(P, \chi_2) \to \mathrm{H}^1(P, \chi_1) = \mathrm{Hom}(P, \chi_1) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$$

is an isomorphism, and the map $\mathrm{H}^1(P, V) \to \mathrm{H}^1(P, \chi_2)$ is indeed injective. □

7.2. **When there are are homotheties.** The center of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is the group $Z \cong \mathbb{F}_p^*$ of scalar automorphisms. We fromulate a more general lemma, because our proof of Theorem 15 depends on it.

Lemma 37. *Let W be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space, and let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(W)$ be a subgroup which intersects the center $\mathbb{F}_p^* \cong Z \subset \mathrm{GL}(W)$ nontrivially. Then the following holds.*

1. *W and the adjoint representation $\mathrm{End}(W)$ have no common irreducible factor.*
2. *$\mathrm{H}^1(G, W) = 0$.*

Proof: (1) The group $H = G \cap Z$ of homotheties in G acts trivially on every irreducible factor of $\mathrm{End}(W)$ and faithfully on every irreducible factor of W. Hence none of them can occur in both W and $\mathrm{End}(W)$.

(2) The inflation/restriction sequence for $H \lhd G$ reads

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(G/H, W^H) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G, W) \to \mathrm{H}^1(H, W)^{G/H}.$$

Since H was assumed nontrivial and is necessarily of order prime to p, both W^H and $\mathrm{H}^1(H, W)$ vanish, and consequently also $\mathrm{H}^1(G, W) = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 15: We use the notation of the theorem. Let $\rho_{A^t,p} : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{T}_p A^t)$ be the Galois representation on the p-adic Tate module of the dual abelian variety A^t. Then Serre, [Se86] §2, has shown that there is an integer $N \geq 1$ independent of p such that $\rho_{A^t,p}(\mathrm{Gal}_k)$ contains the diagonal N^{th} powers $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*)^N$. Thus, for $p > N + 1$, the image $G = G_{1,p}(A^t)$ of the mod p representation $\overline{\rho}_{A^t,p} : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \mathrm{GL}(A_p^t)$ meets the center of $\mathrm{GL}(A_p^t)$ nontrivially. By Lemma 37 we find that for $p > N+1$ the modules A_p^t and $\mathrm{End}(A_p^t)$ have no common irreducible factor and also $\mathrm{H}^1(G, A_p^t) = 0$. We conclude the proof by Proposition 19 and the discussion after its proof. □

Lemma 38. *Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that (5) of Proposition 35 holds. Then G meets the center Z of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ nontrivially.*

Proof: It suffices to discuss the case $\overline{G} = A_4$. If $G \cap Z = 1$, then we have a copy $A_4 \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, in particular $p > 2$. The 2-Sylow subgroup of A_4 is the Klein 4-group $V_4 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ has a completely reducible representation theory already rationally over $\mathbb{F}_p$ as we may produce enough projectors already rationally over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Hence V_4 is contained in a split torus $C = \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and must agree with the 2-torsion of C. Thus V_4 already contains the central element $-1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \cong Z$, a contradiction. □

7.3. The adjoint representation.

Lemma 39. *Let $V = \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$ be a separable quadratic $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]/\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $F \in \mathrm{End}(V)$. Then $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is decomposable as $V \oplus V \cdot F$ as a left V-module. Moreover, the group $G = \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]^* \rtimes \langle F \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ preserves the decomposition $\mathrm{End}(V) = V \oplus V \cdot F$; it acts on V through the quotient*

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]^* \rtimes \langle F \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \langle F \rangle = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}[\alpha]/\mathbb{F}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(V),$$

and on $V \cdot F$ through the endomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]^* \rtimes \langle F \rangle \to \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]^* \rtimes \langle F \rangle$$

which maps F to F and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]^*$ to $\lambda/F(\lambda)$, followed by the tautological action on V identified with $V \cdot F$ by formally multiplying with F.

Proof: It suffices to compute the action $g.x$ for $g = \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]^*$ and $g = F$ on the constituents of the decomposition $\mathrm{End}(V) = V \oplus V \cdot F$. We have for $a, b \in V$

$$F.(a + b \cdot F) = F(a + b \cdot F)F^{-1} = F(a) + F(b) \cdot F$$

and

$$\lambda.(a + b \cdot F) = \lambda(a + b \cdot F)\lambda^{-1} = a + b\lambda F(\lambda^{-1}) \cdot F = a + \frac{\lambda}{F(\lambda)} b \cdot F.$$

□

Lemma 40. *Let V be a reducible representation with characters $\chi_i : G \to \mathbb{F}_p^*$ for $i = 1, 2$ as irreducible factors. Then the irreducible factors of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ are $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$, and $\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1}$.*

Proof: Choosing the right ordering we have a short exact sequence $0 \to \chi_1 \to V \to \chi_2 \to 0$. The dual $V^\vee$ then sits in the short exact sequence $0 \to \chi_2^{-1} \to V^\vee \to \chi_1^{-1} \to 0$, and tensoring the two sequences yields the desired decomposition of $\mathrm{End}(V) = V^\vee \otimes V$. □

We next address the special case where $G \cong S_3$.

Lemma 41. *If $G \cong S_3$, then V and* $\mathrm{End}(V)$ *have a common irreducible factor.*

Proof: We discuss the cases $p = 2$, $p = 3$ and $p \nmid \#G$ separately.

The case $p = 2$. Here a good model is $V = \mathbb{F}_4$ and $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ acts as $\mathbb{F}_4^* \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2)$. As in Lemma 39 we denote the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ by F. By Lemma 39 the map $V \to V \cdot F$ which maps $x \mapsto F(x) \cdot F$ is an isomorphism of V onto a direct summand of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Indeed, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$ we find

$$F(\lambda x) \cdot F = \lambda^2 F(x) \cdot F = \lambda^{-1} F(x) \cdot F = \frac{\lambda}{F(\lambda)} F(x) \cdot F$$

while the compatibility with F is obvious.

The case $p = 3$. Here the 3-cycle of $G = S_3$ must act after choosing a suitable basis as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore G is contained in the standard Borel. As S_3 is not abelian, the two characters $\chi : G \to \mathbb{F}_3^*$ by projecting to a diagonal entry must be distinct. Hence one is trivial and the other one is the sign character. By Lemma 40 the trivial character also occurs in $\mathrm{End}(V)$.

The case $p \nmid \#G$. By representation theory prime to p there is a unique faithful representation of dimension 2 of $G = S_3$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Namely, the 3-cycle lies in a torus C, either as $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ in the split torus if $3 \mid p - 1$, and as the 3-torsion of a nonsplit torus if $3 \mid p + 1$. With the notation of Lemma 39, a transposition agrees with the generator F of a spliting $N/C \to N$ for the normalizer N of the torus. The action on $V \cdot F \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ is also faithful by the formula from Lemma 39 as otherwise F would fix the 3-cycle and $G = S_3$ would be commutative, a contradiction. The uniqueness of a faithful 2-dimensional representation for S_3 thus shows that $V \cong V \cdot F \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ as G-representations. □

Corollary 42. *The determinant of the unique faithful 2-dimensional S_3 representation V over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the mod p sign character* $\mathrm{sign} : S_3 \to \{\pm 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^*$.

Proof: This follows from the discussion of this representation in the proof or Lemma 41. Alternatively, we can remark that the representation V is already defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ as the kernel $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the natural map

$$\mathrm{sum} \ : \ \mathrm{ind}_{(2,3)}^{S_3}(\mathbf{1}) \to \mathbf{1}$$

which sums up the components in the natural basis of the tautological permutation representation. Then

$$\det(V_{\mathbb{Z}}) = \det(\mathrm{ind}_{(2,3)}^{S_3}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathrm{sign},$$

according to one of the definitions of the sign character. □

7.4. **The proof of Theorem 34.** By Lemma 41, both properties (1) and (2) fail if G is contained in a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ that is isomorphic to S_3. We thus may assume (i) of (2), and in particular that $p \geq 3$, since $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong S_3$.

The case V reducible. Let V as a G-module be an extension of the character χ_2 by the character χ_1. By Lemma 40, the irreducible factors of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ as a G-module are $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1}, \mathbf{1}$, and $\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1}$. So (i) of (1) holds if and only if $\chi_1 \neq \mathbf{1}, \chi_2^2$ and $\chi_2 \neq \mathbf{1}, \chi_1^2$, which is exactly what (ii) of (2) asks for. Moreover, Lemma 36 shows that (ii) of (1) follows from (ii) of (2).

The case V irreducible. Let $p \mid \#G$. As G is not contained in a Borel, we conclude by Proposition 35 that G contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Now as $p \geq 3$ the group G necessarily meets the center of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ nontrivially, so that (1) holds by Lemma 37.

If $p \nmid \#G$ and the image of G in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is one of the exceptional cases A_4, S_4 or A_5, namely in case (5) of Proposition 35, then Lemma 38 and Lemma 37 show that (1) holds.

It remains to show (1) in the case that $p \nmid \#G$ and G is contained in the normalizer $N = C \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of a torus $C \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and V is an irreducible G-module. Part (ii) of (1) holds trivially. Lemma 39 now tells us about the action of G on $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Let $H = G \cap C$ be the intersection with the torus, hence a subgroup in G of index ≤ 2. Because V is not a reducible G-module and $p \geq 3$ we have $\#G \geq 3$ and therefore $H \neq 1$.

If (1) part (i) fails, then in the decomposition $\mathrm{End}(V) = V \oplus V \cdot F$ we must have $V \cong V \cdot F$, because H acts trivial on the first summand $V \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$. The representation $V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ regarded as an H-module decomposes as a sum of characters $\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$ of H. The representation $V \cdot F \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ decomposes after scalar extension to $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ as H-module as $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \oplus \chi_2\chi_1^{-1}$. Comparing the two, we find either $\chi_1 = \mathbf{1} = \chi_2$, whence $H = 1$ contradicting the irreduciblity of V as a G-module. Or, $\chi_1 = \chi_2^2 \neq 1$ and $\chi_2 = \chi_1^2 \neq 1$ which means χ_1 and χ_2 are of order 3 and determine each other. In this case $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and acts on V noncentrally and without a common fixed vector. In any case, split or non-split, the subgroup $H \subset C$ is normal but not central in N. Hence either $H = G$ and G can be embedded in a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, or $H \lhd G$ of index 2 and $G \cong S_3$ itself. In any case, this violates (i) of (2) and was excluded in the beginning of the proof. This completes the proof of Theorem 34. $\square$

8. Intersection with the maximal divisible subgroup

We are ultimately interested in understanding the intersection of $\text{Ш}(A/k)$ with the maximal divisible subgroup of the Weil–Châtelet group $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)\right)$ and as before we proceed by analyzing one prime at a time.

8.1. Using continuous cohomology.

Proposition 43. *Let A/k be an abelian variety with good reduction over U and p a prime number invertible on U. There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \cap \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^2_!(U, \mathrm{T}_p\, A) \tag{8.1}$$

which controls, whether a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty}$ belongs to the maximal divisible subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)$.

Proof: For (8.1) we argue as before for (4.1) but with the sequence of pro-$\mathbb{N}$-systems

$$0 \to \mathrm{T}_p(A) \to (A, p\cdot) \xrightarrow{(p^n\cdot)} (A, \mathrm{id}) \to 0 \tag{8.2}$$

where $\mathrm{T}_p(A) = (A_{p^n})$ with multiplication by p as transition maps, $(A, p\cdot)$ is the system of A in each level and multiplication by p as transition maps and $A = (A, \mathrm{id})$ is the constant pro-system. Continuous étale cohomology in the sense of Jannsen [Ja88] yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(U, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, A)_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^2(U, \mathrm{T}_p(A)) \tag{8.3}$$

We find by $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A)) = \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, A))$ and by naturality of δ that $\delta(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)_{p^\infty})$ takes values in $\mathrm{H}^2_!(U, \mathrm{T}_p(A))$ and the sequence (8.1) follows. $\square$

8.2. Using the Euler characteristic formula.

Recall from (1.2) that $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)$ is locally trivial at all primes v which do not divide p. This implies that the image of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty})$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$ contains $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)$, and together with the analysis in Section §2.3 gives us another way of exploring the intersection of $\text{Ш}(A/k)_{p^\infty}$ with $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)$. We will follow this path first for a general abelian variety and then in the case of elliptic curves.

Lemma 44. *Let A/k be an abelian variety, and let p be a rational prime. If $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A^t_{p^n})$ equals $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(k, A^t_{p^n})$ for almost all n then $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial but its intersection with $\text{Ш}(A/k)_{p^\infty}$ agrees with $\mathrm{Div}\left(\text{Ш}(A/k)_{p^\infty}\right)$.*

Remark 45. The assumption $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A^t_{p^n}) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(k, A^t_{p^n})$ for almost all n implies that A^t and therefore also A have trivial algebraic rank.

Proof of Lemma 44: By assumption, the sequence (2.4) leads for $n \gg 0$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^n}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^n}) \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^n} \to 0. \tag{8.4}$$

Local Tate duality implies that we have the following group isomorphism

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^n} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^d \oplus \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} A(k_{\mathfrak{p}})_{p^\infty}/p^n \tag{8.5}$$

where $d = [k:\mathbb{Q}] \cdot \dim(A)$ and the order of $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} A(k_{\mathfrak{p}})_{p^\infty}/p^n$ is independent of n for $n \gg 0$. In the limit for $n \to \infty$ the exact sequence (8.4) becomes the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^\infty}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty}) \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^\infty} \to 0, \tag{8.6}$$

and local Tate duality implies an isomorphism

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^\infty} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{Hom}\left(A(k_{\mathfrak{p}}), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p\right) \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^d \oplus \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} A(k_{\mathfrak{p}})_{p^\infty}$$

Let $D_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^d$ be the intersection of the maximal divisible subgroup

$$D = \mathrm{Div}\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^d$$

with the p^n-torsion subgroup $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^n}$. Since (8.4) is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules, the set S_n of partial splittings $s_n : D_n \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty})$ is finite and non-empty. Restriction defines a map $S_{n+1} \to S_n$, and a well-known compactness argument shows that $\varprojlim_n S_n$ is non-empty. An element s of the projective limit is nothing but a partial splitting $s : D \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty})$ of (8.6). We conclude that also the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^\infty})\right) \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty})\right) \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \to 0. \tag{8.7}$$

is split exact. Moreover, if we map to $\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}$, then we find an exact sequence

$$0 \to Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \to \mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^\infty} \to 0,$$

and again exploiting the partial splitting s we find that also

$$0 \to \mathrm{Div}\left(Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty}\right) \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathrm{H}^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \to 0$$

is split exact. It follows that $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial of corank at least $d = [k:\mathbb{Q}] \cdot \dim(A)$ and that indeed the intersection of $Ш(A/k)_{p^\infty} \cap \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)$ lies in $\mathrm{Div}\left(Ш(A/k)\right)$. □

The **corank** of a p-primary torsion group $M = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^n \times M_0$ with finite M_0, is the well-defined number $n = \mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M)$.

Proposition 46. *Let A/k be an abelian variety with algebraic rank $r < d = \dim(A) \cdot [k:\mathbb{Q}]$. Then $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))$ contains a copy of $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{d-r}$.*

Proof: We can focus on the p-primary part for a prime number p and have to compute the corank of $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty})$. We set $s_p = \mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty}))$, $s = \mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(k, A_{p^\infty}))$, and $s^p = \mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(k, A_{p^\infty}))$. These coranks are constant on isogeny classes, in particular they are the same for the dual A^t. Analyzing the asymptotic cardinality for $n \gg 0$ in (2.4) we obtain $s_p - s^p = (s_p - s) + (s - s^p) = d$. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \to A(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(k, A_{p^\infty})\right) \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right) \to 0$$

we find

$$\mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\left(\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)_{p^\infty}\right)\right) = s_p - r = d + s^p - r \tag{8.8}$$

which is $\geq d - r$ and proves the proposition. □

8.3. Results for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 47. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of trivial algebraic rank. Then we have:*

1. $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right)$ *contains a copy of* $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ *and in particular is nontrivial.*
2. *If* $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ *is finite, then* $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ *and*
$$\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q}) + \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q},E).$$

Proof: (1) This is a special case of Proposition 46.

(2) Fusing together the exact sequences (1.2) for all p we obtain
$$0 \to \text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q},E) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$
which is exact since $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ was assumed finite and there is a copy of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q},E)$ by (1). The result follows at once. ☐

Proposition 48. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of trivial analytic rank. Then we have:*

1. *The intersection* $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})_{p^\infty}$ *with* $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)_{p^\infty}\right)$ *is trivial for all odd primes p such that the* $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$*-representation on* E_p *is irreducible.*
2. $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ *and the sum*
$$\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right)_{p^\infty} = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q},E)_{p^\infty}$$
is direct for all p as in (1).

Remark 49.
1. Observe that the $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$-representation on E_p is irreducible for all $p \neq 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,37,43,67,163$ and for all $p > 7$ if E is semistable (see [Ma78]).
2. We refer to the example in Section §8.4 for an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of trivial analytic rank with nontrivial 3-torsion in $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E))$.

Proof: Since the analytic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is trivial we know that $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite (see [Ko90]), and hence (2) follows from (1) and Proposition 47.

We choose a quadratic imaginary extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ (distinct from the field of complex multiplication of E in case E has CM) such that $d \geq 5$, E/K has analytic rank 1, and all the primes dividing the conductor of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ split while p is inert in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Friedberg and Hoffstein have shown that such an extension $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-d}]$ exists (see [FH95]).

We now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that

(i) $p^{n-1}\text{Ш}(E/K)_{p^\infty} = 0$ (this is possible since we know that $\text{Ш}(E/K)$ is finite),
(ii) p^n does not divide the basic Heegner point defined over K (which is possible due to the nontriviality of this Heegner point since the analytic rank of E/K is 1).

The irreducibility of E_p implies the the conditions of Proposition 19, i.e.

(i) L and k_n are linearly disjoint over k_1 and
(ii) $\mathrm{H}^1(G_1(E),E_p) = 0$,

hold for E (see Theorems 34 and 29) as well as E^d. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^1(K(E_{p^n})/K, E_{p^n}) = 0$. This together with the irreducibility of E_p allows us to find a finite set of rational primes Q such that for all $q \in Q$

(i) E has good reduction at q,
(ii) q is inert in $K/\mathbb{Q}$,
(iii) $|E(K_q)_{p^n}| = p^{2n}$,
(iv) $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^Q}(K,E_p) = 0$.

By computing the size of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_Q}(K,E_{p^m})$ for all $m \leq n$ we deduce that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_Q}(K,E_{p^n}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^{2t}$ where $t = \#Q$. Hence, as in Theorem 1.1.7 of [ÇW08], we know that we can construct $\text{Ш}(E/K)_{p^\infty}$ by constructing $2t-1$ independent ramified classes in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_Q}(K,E_{p^n})$.

Then since the analytic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is trivial $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q},E_{p^n})$ is contained in the subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(K,E_{p^n})$ generated by Kolyvagin classes $\{c_{\ell_1},\ldots,c_{\ell_t}\}$ (see section §1.4 of [ÇW08]). These classes are trivial at p since p splits completely in $K[\ell_i]/K$, where $K[\ell_i]$ denotes the ring class field of conductor ℓ_i. By Lemma 44 it follows that the intersection $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})_{p^\infty}$ with $\mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)_{p^\infty}\right)$ lies in $\mathrm{Div}\left(\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})_{p^\infty}\right)$ which is trivial since $\text{Ш}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite. ☐

Proposition 50. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of non-trivial algebraic rank. Then*

$$\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right)=\operatorname{Div}\left(Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})\right).$$

Proof: It suffices to argue for the p-primary part for every prime number p. We know from (2.4) and local Tate duality that

$$\frac{|\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_p}(\mathbb{Q},E_{p^n})|}{|\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q},E_{p^n})|}=|\,\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p,E)_{p^n}|\cdot\frac{|\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^p}(\mathbb{Q},E_{p^n})|}{|\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q},E_{p^n})|}$$

$$\leq\frac{|E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p^nE(\mathbb{Q}_p)|}{|\operatorname{im}\left(E(\mathbb{Q})/p^nE(\mathbb{Q})\to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p^nE(\mathbb{Q}_p)\right)|}=|E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/(p^nE(\mathbb{Q}_p)+E(\mathbb{Q}))|$$

which is bounded independently of n since the algebraic rank of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is non-trivial. This implies that $\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)_{p^\infty}\right)\subseteq\operatorname{Div}\left(Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})\right)_{p^\infty}$. The other inclusion is clear. □

Proposition 50 above can also be deduced from [Ba72] Theorem 7, or can essentially be found in [HS09] Cor 4.2. It has the following consequence.

Corollary 51. *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve of analytic rank* 1*. Then* $\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)\right)$ *is trivial.*

Proof: Kolyvagin [Ko90] has shown that $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite for all elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of analytic rank 1 and that the algebraic rank is equal to 1 as well. Hence by Proposition 50 we find $\operatorname{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},E)_{p^\infty}\right)\subseteq\operatorname{Div}\left(Ш(E/k)\right)_{p^\infty}=0$ for all primes p. □

8.4. **An example: the Jacobian of the Selmer curve.** The plane cubic

$$S=\{3X^3+4Y^3+5Z^3=0\}$$

describes Selmer's curve of genus 1 violating the Hasse principle. Its Jacobian $E=\mathrm{Pic}^0_S$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ of analytic rank 0 given by the homogeneous equation

$$X^3+Y^3+60Z^3=0 \tag{8.9}$$

with $[1:-1:0]$ as its origin. The curve E has Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})=0$, see [Ca91] §18 Lemma 2, and 3-torsion in an exact sequence

$$0\to\mu_3\to E_3\to\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\to 0,$$

which splits over a field $k/\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if 60 is a cube in k.

The curve S, as a principal homogeneous space under E describes a nontrivial 3-torsion element of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$, see [Ma93] I §4 and §9. Mazur and Rubin determine

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})\cong\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

unconditionally, see [Ma93] Theorem 1 and §9, and gives a list of the isomorphism classes as genus 1 curves of the principal homogeneous spaces[7] under E representing nontrivial elements of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ as, besides S,

$$\begin{aligned}S'&=\{X^3+5Y^3+12Z^3=0\},\\ S''&=\{X^3+4Y^3+15Z^3=0\},\\ S'''&=\{X^3+3Y^3+20Z^3=0\}.\end{aligned}\tag{8.10}$$

Kummer theory and the vanishing $E(\mathbb{Q})=0$ yield isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q},E_3)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q},E_{3^n})\xrightarrow{\sim}Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}).$$

The E_3-torsor associated to an element of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is given by the zero set of $XYZ=0$ in the description given by (8.9) and (8.10). The Selmer group trivial at 3 can now be determined via the defining exact sequence

$$0\to\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^3}(\mathbb{Q},E_3)\to\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathbb{Q},E_3)\to\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_3,E_3)$$

---

[7] The element $W\in Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $-W$ as a curve of genus 1.

since we only need to check whether $XYZ = 0$ on S, S', S'' or S''' has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-point. This is true for S and false for S', S'', S''' as can be deduced from $\mathbb{Q}_3^*/(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3 = \langle 2, 3\rangle$ with 10 being a cube in $\mathbb{Q}_3^*$. We conclude that

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \supset \langle [S] \rangle = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_3) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_{3^n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $E(\mathbb{Q}_3)$ has no 3-torsion, we find by local Tate duality

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_3, E)_{3^\infty} = \mathrm{Hom}(E(\mathbb{Q}_3), \mathbb{Q}_3/\mathbb{Z}_3) = \mathbb{Q}_3/\mathbb{Z}_3,$$

so that counting in (2.4) here leads to

$$|\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_{3^n})| = 3^{n+1}.$$

The map $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_{3^n}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ is injective with image the 3^n-torsion of the subgroup

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)_{3^\infty} = \ker\big(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E) \to \prod_{v \neq 3} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E)\big),$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_{3^\infty}) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)_{3^\infty} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}_3/\mathbb{Z}_3.$$

It follows that $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ meets the maximal divisible group of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ nontrivially, namely

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)) = Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z},$$

which shows the limitation of Proposition 48 towards $p = 3$.

We proceed to determine, which of the principal homogeneous spaces S, S', S'' or S''' generates the intersection $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$, thereby preparing the answer to [Sx11b] Question 49 given in Remark 62 below.

Proposition 52. *The intersection* $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E))$ *is generated by the class of the Selmer curve* S*.*

Proof: The Jacobian E of the Selmer curve has good reduction outside $\{2, 3, 5\}$. We set

$$U = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/30])$$

and consider E by abuse of notation as the relative elliptic curve E/U. Since for $v = 2, 5$ neither a cube root of 60 nor ζ_3 is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_v$, we have $E_3(\mathbb{Q}_v) = 0$ and thus by local Tate-duality

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E_3) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E_9) = 0$$

for $v = 2, 5$. We conclude by Corollary 3 and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_3) = {} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_3) && = Ш(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3) = {} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}^3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_3) && = \langle [S] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_9) = {} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Sel}_3}(\mathbb{Q}, E_9) && \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

The long exact cohomology sequence for

$$0 \to E_3 \to E_9 \to E_3 \to 0 \tag{8.11}$$

reads

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_3) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_9) \xrightarrow{\text{"}3.\text{"}} \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_3) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathrm{H}^2(U, E_3) \to 0$$

where the **Bockstein** map $\beta : \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_3) \to \mathrm{H}^2(U, E_3)$ is surjective by counting and Artin–Verdier duality

$$\mathrm{H}^2(U, E_3) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

induced by the Weil pairing $e : E_3 \otimes E_3 \to \mu_3$, see Theorem 5. We introduce the **Weil–Bockstein** pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_{\mathrm{WB}} \ &: \ \mathrm{H}^1(U, E_3) \times \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3) \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \\ \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathrm{WB}} &= e_*\big(\beta(a) \cup b\big). \end{aligned}$$

With the "forget support map"

$$f^i : \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3) \to \mathrm{H}^i(U, E_3)$$

and the class of the Selmer curve $s \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3)$ the claim of the proposition boils down to the vanishing of

$$\langle f^1(s), s\rangle_{\mathrm{WB}}.$$

Applying compactly supported cohomology to (8.11) we find the **compact Bockstein**

$$\beta_{\mathrm{c}} : \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{c}}(U, E_3)$$

which is adjoint to β with respect to Artin–Verdier duality sponsored by the Weil pairing. Moreover, the forget support maps f^1 and f^2 are also adjoints. We can thus compute

$$\langle f^1(s), s\rangle_{\mathrm{WB}} = e_*\big(f^1(s)) \cup \beta_{\mathrm{c}}(s)\big) = e_*\big(s \cup f^2(\beta_{\mathrm{c}}(s))\big) = e_*\big(s \cup \beta(f^1(s))\big) = -\langle f^1(s), s\rangle_{\mathrm{WB}}$$

due to anti-symmetry of the Weil-pairing, so that indeed $\langle f^1(s), s\rangle_{\mathrm{WB}} = 0$. □

Remark 53. The proof of Proposition 52 given above can be used to identify the pairing $\langle -, -\rangle_{\mathrm{WB}}$ with the restriction of the Cassels–Tate pairing on $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ in the form of the "Weil–pairing definition" of Poonen and Stoll [PS99] §12.2.

Question 54. Is $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$, and consequently $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{Q}, E)_{3^\infty}$, contained in $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$?

9. CONNECTION TO THE SECTION CONJECTURE OF ANABELIAN GEOMETRY

9.1. **The section conjecture.** Let X/k be a geometrically connected variety, let $\overline{X} = X \times_k k^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be the base change of X to k^{alg}, and let $\bar{x} \in \overline{X}$ be a geometric point compatible with k^{alg}. The étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ with base point $\bar{x}$ is an extension, see [SGA1] IX Theorem 6.1,

$$1 \to \pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{x}) \to \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_*} \mathrm{Gal}_k \to 1, \tag{9.1}$$

where $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \overline{x})$ is the geometric fundamental group of X with base point $\overline{x}$. In the sequel, we denote the extension (9.1) by $\pi_1(X/k)$. To a rational point $a \in X(k)$ the functoriality of π_1 for pointed spaces gives rise to a continuous homomorphism

$$s_a \ : \ \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \pi_1(X, \bar{a}),$$

where $\bar{a}$ is a geometric point of $\overline{X}$ above a compatible with k^{alg}. An étale path γ from $\bar{a}$ to $\bar{x}$ on $\overline{X}$ defines an isomorphism

$$\gamma(-)\gamma^{-1} \ : \ \pi_1(X, \bar{a}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(X, \bar{x})$$

that is compatible with the projection pr_* to Gal_k. The composition $\gamma(-)\gamma^{-1} \circ s_a$ defines a splitting/section of (9.1). Changing the étale path γ on $\overline{X}$ varies the section over a $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{x})$-conjugacy class of splittings/sections, so that the conjugacy class itself, denoted by $[s_a]$, depends only on $a \in X(k)$. We denote the set of $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{x})$-conjugacy classes of sections of $\pi_1(X/k)$ by

$$\mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(X/k)} = \{s : \mathrm{Gal}_k \to \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) \ ; \ \mathrm{pr}_* \circ s = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Gal}_k}\} \big/_{\pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{x})\text{-conjugacy}}.$$

The well defined map $a \mapsto [s_a]$ is the **pro-finite Kummer map**

$$\kappa \ : \ X(k) \to \mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(X/k)} \tag{9.2}$$

The **section conjecture** of Grothendieck gives a conjectural description of the set of all the sections in an arithmetic situation as follows.

Conjecture 55 (Grothendieck [Gr83])**.** *Let X be a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve of genus ≥ 2 over an algebraic number field k. Then the pro-finite Kummer map $a \mapsto [s_a]$ is a bijection from the set of rational points $X(k)$ onto the set $\mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(X/k)}$ of $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{x})$-conjugacy classes of sections of $\pi_1(X/k)$.*

We define a class of geometrically connected varieties over k to be **weakly anabelian** (with respect to rational points) if for X/k in the class $\pi_1(X/k)$ splits if and only if $X(k)$ is nonempty.

Remark 56. (1) The class of torsors under tori over k is weakly anabelian, see [Sx11a] §19.3.1.

(2) The section conjecture in particular predicts that the class of geometrically connected smooth projective curves of genus ≥ 2 is weakly anabelian. Conversely, it is well known that if this class is weakly anabelian, then Conjecture 55 holds, see [Sx11a] §12.2 for a survey.

(3) For an abelian variety A/k we have a natural identification $\mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(A/k)} = \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{T}(A))$ under which the pro-finite Kummer map $\kappa : A(k) \to \mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(A/k)}$ becomes the boundary map $\delta_{\mathrm{kum}} : A(k) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{T}(A))$ of continuous cohomology à la Jannsen of the continuous Kummer sequence (8.2).

9.2. **Kummer theory.** A smooth projective geometrically connected variety X/k admits a universal map $\alpha_X : X \to \mathrm{Alb}^1_X$ into torsors under abelian varieties, an arithmetic form of the Albanese map, see [Wi08]. Indeed $W = \mathrm{Alb}^1_X$ is a torsor under the Albanese variety $A = \mathrm{Alb}_X$ of X. On geometric fundamental groups we have $\pi_1(\overline{A}) = \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(\overline{X})/\mathrm{tors}$, see [Sx11a] Proposition 48. The natural map of extensions $\alpha_{X,*} : \pi_1(X/k) \to \pi_1(W/k)$ defines a map $s \mapsto s^{\mathrm{ab}}$ on spaces of sections

$$\mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(X/k)} \to \mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(W/k)}.$$

An abelian approximation on Conjecture 55 asks whether a section s of $\pi_1(X/k)$ forces W to have a rational point, i.e., whether the class $[W] \in \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$ must be trivial. Replacing X by W we can reformulate this question as asking whether principal homogeneous spaces under abelian varieties over k form a weakly anabelian class. Since $\pi_1(\overline{W}) = \pi_1(\overline{A}) = \mathrm{T}(A)$ as Gal_k-modules, see [Sx11a] §19.2, we can identify the class of the extension $\pi_1(W/k)$ with an element $[\pi_1(W/k)] \in \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathrm{T}(A))$ for continuous cohomology à la Jannsen. The computation of the boundary map δ_{kum} for the pro-finite Kummer sequence (8.2) was done in [HS09] Proposition 2.2 and differering by a sign[8] in [Sx11a] §19.2 and yields the following.

Proposition 57. *Let W be a principal homogeneous space under the abelian variety A/k. Then the sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Div}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, A) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}} \mathrm{H}^2\left(k, \mathrm{T}(A)\right)$$

is exact and $\delta_{\mathrm{kum}}([W]) = -[\pi_1(W/k)]$. In particular $\pi_1(W/k)$ splits if and only if $[W]$ belongs to the maximal divisible subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)$.

The interest in Bashmakov's problem is now evident from the perspective of Conjecture 55.

The pro-finite Kummer map for an abelian variety A/k can be analysed by information extracted from the pro-finite Kummer sequence (8.2) as follows. Since $A(k)$ is finitely generated the map $A(k) \to \varprojlim_n A(k)/nA(k)$ is injective and have an exact sequence

$$0 \to A(k) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(A/k)} \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, (A, p\cdot)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, A).$$

The $\varprojlim^i$-sequence for continuous cohomology shows that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to A(k) \otimes (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(k, (A, p\cdot)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)\right) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{H}^1(k, A)) \to 0,$$

which has the following immediate consequence.

Proposition 58. *Let A/k be an abelian variety over an algebraic number field. Then the map $\kappa : A(k) \to \mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(A/k)}$ is bijective if and only if $A(k)$ is finite and $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)) = 0$. If only $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)) = 0$, then the completion of the pro-finite Kummer map $A(k) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathscr{S}_{\pi_1(A/k)}$ is bijective.* ☐

However, the conditions in Proposition 58 contradict each other.

Theorem 59. *There is no abelian variety A/k over an algebraic number field k such that the pro-finite Kummer map is bijective.*

Proof: If A/k has a bijective pro-finite Kummer map, then by Proposition 58 simultaneously $A(k)$ is finite and $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A)) = 0$. This contradicts Proposition 46. ☐

---

[8] We ignore the sign since it has no effect for our application.

9.3. **Translation.** We give interpretations in terms of properties of sections for the cohomology groups under discussion in this note.

(1) The group $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{div}}(k, A)$ describes principal homogeneous spaces W such that $\pi_1(W/k)$ has local sections for every place v of k in the sense of [Sx11a] §14.

(2) The Tate–Shafarevich group $Ш(A/k)$ describes principal homogeneous spaces W such that $\pi_1(W/k)$ has local sections s_v induced from a k_v-rational point for every place v.

(3) The group $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))$ describes principal homogeneous spaces W such that $\pi_1(W/k)$ splits.

(4) The group $\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{H}^1(k, A))$ describes principal homogeneous spaces W such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an open subgroup $H_n \subset \pi_1(W)$ that surjects to Gal_k and such that $\pi_1(\overline{W})/(H_n \cap \pi_1(\overline{W})$ is isomorphic to A_n.

The results of Section §8 have the following corollaries.

Corollary 60. *The class of principal homogeneous spaces under elliptic curves over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *of positive algebraic rank and with finite Tate–Shafarevich group, in particular under elliptic curves of analytic rank* 1*, forms a weakly anabelian class.*

Proof: Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be such an elliptic curve. Then by Proposition 50 we have $\mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)) = \mathrm{Div}(Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})) = 0$ and we conclude by Proposition 57. ☐

Corollary 61. *(1) For every elliptic curve* $E/\mathbb{Q}$ *of algebraic rank* 0 *there is a nontrivial principal homogeneous space* W *of arbitrary order in* $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ *such that* $\pi_1(W/\mathbb{Q})$ *splits.*

(2) The class of principal homogeneous spaces of order divisible by a prime $p > 7$ *and with local points everywhere under semistable elliptic curves over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with trivial analytic rank forms a weakly anabelian class.*

Proof: (1) By Proposition 47 there is a copy of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)$. The homogeneous space W corresponding to $1/n$ has order n and $\pi_1(W/k)$ splits due to Proposition 57.

(2) This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 48 and Proposition 57. ☐

Remark 62. As a result of Proposition 52 and Proposition 57, the Selmer curve

$$S = \{3X^3 + 4Y^3 + 5Z^3 = 0\}$$

studied in detail in Section §8.4 provides an explicit example for a nontrivial element of a Tate-Shafarevich group with however split fundamental group extension $\pi_1(S/\mathbb{Q})$, but obviously no rational point that is responsible for the splitting. This answers [Sx11b] Question 49.

We finally describe a weakly anabelian class of curves consisting of hyperbolic curves, and which therefore is of interest for the section conjecture.

Corollary 63. *The class of affine curves which are open subsets of genus* 1 *curves over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *whose Jacobian has analytic rank* 1 *forms a weakly anabelian class.*

Proof: Let $U \subset C$ be such an open with $C/\mathbb{Q}$ proper smooth of genus 1 and Jacobian $E/\mathbb{Q}$. If $\pi_1(U/\mathbb{Q})$ splits, then also $\pi_1(C/\mathbb{Q})$ splits and therefore $C \cong E$ by Proposition 57 and Proposition 50. Since $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite, we deduce that also the cofinite subset $U(\mathbb{Q}) \subset C(\mathbb{Q}) \cong E(\mathbb{Q})$ is nonempty. ☐

REFERENCES

[AI82] Anderson, G., Ihara, Y., Pro-ℓ branched coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and higher circular ℓ-units, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **128** (1988), no. 2, 271–293.

[Ba64] Bashmakov, M. I., On the divisibility of principal homogeneous spaces over Abelian varieties, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **28** (1964), 661–664.

[Ba72] Bashmakov, M. I., The cohomology of abelian varieties over a number field, (English translation) *Russian Math. Surveys* **27** (1972), no. 6, 25–70.

[BK75] Birch, B. J., Kuyk, W., editors, *Modular functions of one variable IV*, Proceedings of the International Summer School on Modular Functions of One Variable and Arithmetical Applications, Antwerp 1972, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **476**, Springer, 1975, iv+151pp.

[BO03] Balog, A., Ono, K., Elements of class groups and Shafarevich-Tate groups of elliptic curves, *Duke Math. J.* **120** (2003), no. 1, 35–63.

[Ca62] Cassels, J. W. S., Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. III. The Tate-Shafarevic and Selmer groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **12** 1962, 259–296.

[Cas62] Cassels, J. W. S., Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. IV. Proof of the Hauptvermutung, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **211** (1962), 95–112.

[Ca91] Cassels, J. W. S., *Lectures on elliptic curves*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **24**, Cambridge University Press, 1991, vi+137pp.

[Cr97] Cremona, J. E., *Algorithms for modular elliptic curves*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997, vi+376pp, available also online `http://www.warwick.ac.uk/staff/J.E.Cremona/book/fulltext/`

[ÇW08] Çiperiani, M., Wiles, A., Solvable points on genus one curves, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **142** (2008), no. 3, 381–464.

[DDT97] Darmon, H., Diamond, F., Taylor, R., Fermat's last theorem, in: *Elliptic curves, modular forms & Fermat's last theorem* (Hong Kong, 1993), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997, 2–140.

[FH95] Friedberg, S., Hoffstein, J., Nonvanishing theorems for automorphic L-functions on GL(2), *Ann. of Math.* (2) **142** (1995), no. 2, 385–423.

[Gr94] Greenberg, R., Iwasawa theory and p-adic deformations of motives, in: *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **55**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, 193–223.

[Gr83] Grothendieck, A., Brief an Faltings (27/06/1983), in: *Geometric Galois Action 1* (ed. L. Schneps, P. Lochak), LMS Lecture Notes **242**, Cambridge, 1997, 49–58.

[HS09] Harari, D., Szamuely, T., Galois sections for abelianized fundamental groups, with an Appendix by E. V. Flynn, *Math. Ann.* **344** (2009), no. 4, 779–800.

[Ja82] Jannsen, U., Galoismoduln mit Hasse-Prinzip, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **337** (1982), 154–158.

[SGA1] Grothendieck, A., *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie (SGA 1) 1960-61: Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Documents Mathématiques **3**, Société Mathématique de France, 2003.

[SGA7$_I$] Grothendieck, A., *Groupes de Monodromie en Géometrie Algébrique I*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I), directed by A. Grothendieck, with collaboration of M. Raynaud and D. S. Rim, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **288**, Springer, 1972, viii+523pp.

[HB94] Heath-Brown, D. R., The size of Selmer groups for the congruent number problem. II. *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), no. 2, 331–370.

[Ja88] Jannsen, U., Continuous étale cohomology, *Math. Annalen* **280** (1988), 207–245.

[Jo10] Jones, N., Almost all elliptic curves are Serre curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 3, 1547–1570.

[Ka10] Kane, D.M., On the ranks of the 2-Selmer groups of twists of a given elliptic curve, Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1365`, 2010.

[Ke82] Kenku, M. A., On the number of $\mathbf{Q}$-isomorphism classes of elliptic curves in each $\mathbf{Q}$-isogeny class, *J. Number Theory* **15** (1982), no. 2, 199–202.

[Kl11] Klagsbrun, Z., Selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves, Ph.D Thesis, University of California, Irvine, 2011.

[Ko90] Kolyvagin, V. A., Euler systems, *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Vol. II, Progr. Math., 87, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, 435–483.

[Ma78] Mazur, B., Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, *IHES Publ. Math.* **47**, (1978), 33–186.

[Ma93] Mazur, B., On the passage from local to global in number theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1993), no. 1, 14–50.

[Ma07] Matsuno, K., Construction of elliptic curves with large Iwasawa λ-invariants and large Tate-Shafarevich groups, *Manuscripta Math.* **122** (2007), no. 3, 289–304.

[Me94] Merel, L., Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres, *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 437–449.

[Mi86] Milne, J.S., *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Perspectives in Mathematics, 1. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1986.

[MR10] Mazur, B., Rubin, K., Ranks of twists of elliptic curves and Hilbert's Tenth Problem, *Inventiones mathematicae* **181** (2010), 541–575.

[Ne75] Neumann, O., p-closed algebraic number fields with bounded ramification, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **39** (1975), no. 2, 259–271, 471.

[NSW08] Neukirch, J., Schmidt, A., Wingberg, K., *Cohomology of number fields*, second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **323**, Springer, 2008, xvi+825pp.

[Pa00] Parent, P., Torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps cubiques, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **50** (2000), no. 3, 723–749.

[Pa03] Parent, P., No 17-torsion on elliptic curves over cubic number fields, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **15** (2003), no. 3, 831–838.

[PS99] Poonen, B., Stoll, M., The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), no. 3, 1109–1149.

[Ri97] Ribet, K., Images of semistable Galois representations, *Pacific J. of Math.* **181** (1997), no. 3, 277–297.

[Ro00] Rose, H., On some elliptic curves with large sha, *Experiment. Math.* **9** (2000), no. 1, 85–89.

[S$^+$10] Stein, W. A., et al., *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 4.6)*, The Sage Development Team, 2010, `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[Se72] Serre, J.-P., Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331.

[Se79] Serre, J.-P., Points rationnels des courbes modulaires $X_0(N)$ [d'après Barry Mazur], *Séminaire Bourbaki* (1977/78), exposé **511**, Lecture Notes in Math. **710** (1979), 89–100.

[Se86] Serre, J.-P., Lettre à Ken Ribet du 7/3/1986, no. 138 in: J.-P. Serre, Œuvres, Vol. 4, Springer Verlag, 2003.

[So07] Soulé, C., An introduction to arithmetic groups, *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II*, 247–276, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[Sh63] Shafarevich, I. R., Extensions with given ramification points (russian), *Publ. Math. IHES* **18** (1963), 71–95, translated in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **59** (1966), 128–149.

[Sx02] Stix, J., Projective anabelian curves in positive characteristic and descent theory for log étale covers, *Bonner Mathematische Schriften* **354** (2002).

[Sx10] Stix, J., On the period-index problem in light of the section conjecture, *American Journal of Mathematics* **132** (2010), no. 1, 157–180.

[Sx11a] Stix, J., *Evidence for the section conjecture in the theory of arithmetic fundamental groups*, submitted as Habilitationsschrift, Heidelberg, 2011, x+190pp.

[Sx11b] Stix, J., The Brauer–Manin obstruction for sections of the fundamental group, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **215** (2011), no. 6, 1371–1397.

[SD08] Swinnerton-Dyer, P., The effect of twisting on the 2-Selmer group. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **145** (2008), no. 3, 513–526.

[Wi95] Wiles, A., Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551.

[Wi08] Wittenberg, O., On the Albanese torsors and the elementary obstruction, *Math. Annalen* **340** (2008), no. 4, 805–838.

[Zi78] Zink, Th., Étale cohomology and duality in number fields, Appendix 2 in: Haberland, K., *Galois Cohomology of Algebraic Number Fields*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1978.

[Zy10] Zywina, D., Elliptic curves with maximal Galois action on their torsion points, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42** (2010), no. 5, 811–826.

Mirela Çiperiani, Department of Mathematics, the University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station, C1200 Austin, Texas 78712, USA
E-mail address: `mirela@math.utexas.edu`
URL: `http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/mirela/`

Jakob Stix, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
E-mail address: `stix@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`
URL: `http://www.mathi.uni-heidelberg.de/~stix/`